## Appendix C Public Concern List

## Introduction

Public Concerns are derived directly from public comment. Each represents the gist of a statement of concern made by the public. Concerns may be derived from one person's input, but often represent the view of many respondents. They are intended to aid the planning team in characterizing the issues to be analyzed in subsequent stages of the planning process. They may also provide a framework for preparing responses to public comment. Primarily, public concerns serve to guide readers to public comment on specific topics. As such, this index is intended to be used as a cross reference to public concerns listed in chapters 1 through 6 of the Summary of Public Comment. Readers may identify their areas of concern within the list provided in this index and then reference the relevant portion of the summary document. There they will find sample quotes in support of the concern statement. Each sample quote includes a letter number reference should users wish to look at the original letter on file at the office of the Content Analysis Team in Salt Lake City, Utah.

Chapter 1 CE	EQ Review and Planning Processes	1-1
CEQ REVIE	W OF THE NEPA PROCESS	. 1-1
CEQ Re	view General	. 1-3
1.	Public Concern: The CEQ is right to review NEPA	. 1-3
	And should take the lead in improving NEPA	. 1-3
	And should review NEPA on an on-going basis	. 1-3
2.		
3.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should keep in mind the	. 1-3
5.	complexity of NEPA implementation in its review	1_3
4.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should keep in mind the	. 1-3
4.	complexity of the natural environment in its review	1 2
5.		. 1-3
5.	light of the original spirit and intent of NEPA.	1 1
6.	To protect the environment	. 1-4
0.	NEDA as an evalual to weaken environmental protection	1 1
7	NEPA as an excuse to weaken environmental protection.	. 1-4
7.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should seek new, innovative ways	4 4
0	to meet NEPA purposes	. 1-4
8.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should take a stronger position on key aspects of NEPA.	. 1-4
9.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should pursue change more	
	aggressively	. 1-4
10.	Public Concern: The CEQ should not review NEPA	1-5
10.	Rather, it should focus resources on internal management compliance	•
	reviews	1-5

11.	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the true purpose	
	of this review	1-5
12.	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that, in its own	
	approach, it is foiling the purposes of NEPA	1-6
Scope of	of Review	1-6
	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should expand the scope of its	
	review	1-6
	To include a review of NEPA history and processes	
	To include a review of congressional findings in section 101 of NEPA	
	To include a review of previous congressional acts	
	To include a review of federal and federally permitted activities in the U.S.	,
	Exclusive Economic Zone and High Seas	1_7
1/	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should keep its review within the	1-7
14.	scope outlined in the federal register notice	4 7
15		1-/
15.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify what issues it is	4 7
40	reviewing and what recommendations will follow.	1-7
16.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider areas B, C, and	
	E before A and D.	
	Coordination and Review of Reports	1-8
17.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should coordinate efforts with the	
	Transportation Infrastructure Streamlining Task Force.	1-8
18.	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should coordinate efforts with the	
	Energy Projects Streamlining Task Force.	1-8
19.	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should allow test pilot projects to	
	proceed in parallel with its own efforts.	1-9
20.	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should coordinate with the	
	Federal Highway Administration in reviewing examples of effective	
	streamlining of NEPA processes.	1-9
21.	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should review reports relevant to	
	its review of NEPA	1-9
	"New Approaches for Managing Federally Administered Lands" and	
	"Breaking the Gridlock - Federal Land Pilot Projects in Idaho"	1-9
	The Memorandum for General Counsels, NEPA Liaisons, and	
	Participants in Scoping	1-9
	Data gathered by the Udall Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution	
NFPA T	ask Force	
	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should include diverse	
~~.	participants	1-10
	Private and non-federal participants	1_10
	State government representatives	
22	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that a centralized	1-10
23.		4 44
T	task force is less effective than decentralized innovations.	
rust an	nd Integrity of CEQ Review	1-11
24.	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should be independent of political	
	pressure.	1-11
25.	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not pander to special	
	interests	
	Industrial interests	1-11
26.	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address citizen frustration	
	with government	1-11

	volvement in the CEQ Review	
Public	Involvement in the CEQ Review General	1-12
27.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should engage all affected	
	parties	1-12
28.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure equal treatment to	
20.	all people in the public involvement process.	1-12
20	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should notify anyone who has	1 12
29.		1 12
20	submitted comments to federal agencies in the last five years.	1-12
30.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should hold meetings for the	
	purpose of exchanging ideas by those outside of the federal	4.40
0.4	government	1-12
31.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to file	
	their comments before accepting comments from other parties	
	So the public can understand agency perspectives	1-12
32.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should submit its	
	recommendations for public comment, and respond to comments	1-13
33.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide notice and	
	comment rulemaking procedures for any changes in interpretive	
	guidance for NEPA implementation	1-13
Lengt	h of Comment Period	
	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should extend the comment	
	period.	1-13
	And notify the public	
	30 day extension	
	90 day comment period	
25	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should reopen the comment	1 - 1 - 4
33.		1 1 1
	period  If Website for Public Comment	
		1-14
36.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should create a more user-	
	friendly website.	1-14
37.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should revise the website	
	questions.	1-14
38.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that the website	
	questions do not address the real problems with NEPA implementation	1-14
39.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should post comments on the	
	website	1-15
Decision	making Authority	
	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that it has the	
	authority to streamline NEPA without congressional action	1-15
NEPA AND F	PLANNING PROCESSES – GENERAL IMPLEMENTATION	
	nd Planning Processes General	
	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should support the retention of	1 10
71.	NEPA	1 10
	Because NEPA is more important now than when it was enacted	
40	Because NEPA requires agencies to be honest	1-19
42.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that NEPA is one	4.00
	of the most important laws enacted to protect the environment	1-20
43.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should support the abolishment of	
	NEPA	
	Because it circumvents the democratic process	1-20

	already sufficient environmental safeguards and	
	astes too much in tax dollars	1-20
Because it is base	d on the false premise that the environment has value	
independently of h	uman interests	1-21
44. Public Concern:	The CEQ Task Force should consider that NEPA has	
evolved into one	of the most onerous federal burdens	1-21
45. Public Concern: 7	The CEQ Task Force should reconsider CEQ's	
judgment that NE	PA is successful despite numerous identified problems	1-21
	The CEQ Task Force should consider that NEPA is not	
the problem		1-22
	bureaucratic culture	
47. Public Concern:	The CEQ Task Force should compare NEPA's benefits	
with its adverse e	ffects.	1-22
48. Public Concern: 7	The CEQ Task Force should review the current	
applicability of NE	EPA	1-22
49. Public Concern:	The CEQ Task Force should examine irregularities in	
	S	1-22
	The CEQ Task Force should consider the causes of	
		1-23
	The CEQ Task Force should recognize that NEPA's	
	interpretation to the courts	1-23
	'	
	The CEQ Task Force should provide a clearer vision of	
	'	1-23
	The CEQ Task Force should reinforce a broader vision	
of NEPA		1-23
54. Public Concern: 7	The CEQ Task Force should consider that the purpose	
	ance protection of the environment with human welfare	1-24
	conservation, without the denial of use to humans	
	The CEQ Task Force should consider that NEPA is	
meant to be proce	edural, not substantive	1-24
	The CEQ Task Force should consider that the purpose	
	ence in decisions, not excellence in paperwork	1-24
	The CEQ Task Force should consider that the purpose	
	ome avoidance of litigation	1-25
	PA	
	The CEQ Task Force should change the NEPA	
		1-25
	ify the process	
	rational and effective process	
	ole actions	
	on of the environment without stifling business	
	ources are not committed prior to final decisions	
	The CEQ Task Force should streamline the NEPA	
		1-27
To reduce "analysi	s paralysis" and gridlock	1-27
	The CEQ Task Force should require annual reports	
	their progress in streamlining environmental review	1-27
	The CEQ Task Force should consider the streamlining	
	Iontana Environmental Policy Act	1-28

62.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide guidance to non-	
	land management agencies on how to streamline the NEPA process	1-28
63.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should rectify the weaknesses in	4 00
0.4	NEPA's legal and institutional framework.	1-28
64.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not change the NEPA	
	process.	
	Because it allows adequate public involvement	1-29
	Because it has substantive legal requirements only in limited instances	1-29
	Because it works well when done right	1-30
	Because it is important to the quality of life	1-30
	Should rather focus on non-controversial projects	1-30
65.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that there is no	
	need to streamline NEPA	1-30
	Because NEPA poses little burden on federal agency actions	
	Because EIS's are only needed for a small minority of major projects	
	likely to significantly affect the environment	1-30
	Because constricting or eliminating the environmental review process will	
	have only a minimal effect on the time it takes to deliver a project	1-31
	Because NEPA merely establishes procedural requirements to ensure	0 .
	that the agency and the public fully consider the environmental	
	ramifications of major actions	1-31
	Because proposals pushed by critics of NEPA will lessen its environmental	1-51
	protection value and lead to projects opposed by the public	1_21
	Because streamlining NEPA will come at the cost of the very thing NEPA	1-51
	is meant to ensure—adequate study, public involvement, and alternative	1 21
66	development  Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not weaken NEPA	
00.		
	By introducing flexibility to exempt certain actions	
67	Because it ensures legitimate effects analysis	1-33
67.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that it's possible	4 00
	to fix the process without weakening NEPA's role	
	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should strengthen NEPA	1-33
69.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should enhance the NEPA	
	I and the state of	1-33
70.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure that any changes	
	to NEPA increase informed decisionmaking and public participation	
NEPA In	nplementation	1-34
	İmplementation General	1-34
71.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage effective	
	implementation of NEPA	1-34
	By maintaining its current flexibility	
	To reduce abuse	1-34
	To reduce disharmony and acrimony	1-34
72.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that the	
	complexity of NEPA implementation makes it easy for project opponents	
	to delay or halt the process.	1-35
73.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the use of NEPA	
	to justify predetermined decisions.	1-35
74.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that otherwise	
	successful NEPA processes are sometimes frustrated by lack of follow-	
	through and subsequent support	1-35
		50

75.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should establish a paradigm that	4.00
70	integrates NEPA with other political, social, and analytic frameworks	1-36
76.		
	NEPA process to work toward a single solution that accommodates	
	multiple interests	1-36
77.		
	occur close to the time of project implementation	1-36
78.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
	follow a logical sequence in planning	1-37
79.		
	in early planning	1-37
80.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage early NEPA	
	planning	1-37
	Should begin the NEPA review for pipeline projects before the filing of the	
	application	1-38
	So agencies can coordinate rather than duplicate review procedures	
	required by other statutes	1-38
	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should examine a synopsis of the	
	SR 104 highway project regarding challenges in seeking early agency	
	involvement.	1-38
Guida	nce/Implementing Regulations	
	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify NEPA guidance	
	By developing an administrative roadmap for implementing NEPA and	
	defending it	1-39
	By defining key terms	
	To enhance planning and decisionmaking processes	
	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the NEPA	00
00.	guidance provided by the Federal Highway Administration in the form of	
	manuals and handbooks	1-40
84	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the conflicting	1-40
04.	court rulings and inconsistent agency guidelines regarding NEPA	1_40
95	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should amend the CEQ	1-40
05.	regulations	1 11
	To reflect changes in federal environmental and resource management	1-41
	legislation since 1978, as well as agency experience with NEPA	1 /1
	To reflect case law and recent CEQ guidance  To help the executive branch regain control over the NEPA process	
	To determine whether a project has significant effect on the human	1-42
		1 10
	environment or not	
	To disallow actions that are not statutorily required	1-42
86.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should reemphasize CEQ's	
	previous guidance to agencies and incorporate that guidance in the CEQ	4 40
0.7	regulations	1-42
87.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require NEPA	4 40
	implementing regulations to mirror CEQ regulations.	1-43
88.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify NEPA through	
	authoritative rules, not guidance memoranda.	
	Application	1-43
89.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should direct agencies to	
	concentrate their efforts only on those major federal actions truly having	
	a significant effect on the human environment	1-43

	Petitions, licenses, and major permits which result in significant effects	
	Forest plans	1-44
	Multiple use programs	1-44
90	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the increasing	
	application of NEPA to no- or low-impact projects	1-44
91	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not exempt certain	
•	projects from NEPA review.	1-44
	Fire fighting and fuel reduction, defense projects, mining, and oil/gas	
	development	1-4/
02	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that the extent of	
92	any NEPA process is limited to areas where federal jurisdiction is	
	properly asserted.	1 1/
Evon		
	nples	1-40
93	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider general	4 45
0.4	examples of NEPA implementation.	1-45
94	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of	
	effective NEPA implementation.	
	Montana Division of Natural Resources Council Division of State Lands	
	Boise National Forest Silver Creek Project	1-46
	Sierra Nevada Framework and Yosemite National Park Management	
	Plan	1-46
	OSM Land's Unsuitable Petition for Mining EIS near Fall Creek Falls	
	State Park	1-46
	TVA Land Between the Lakes EIS	1-46
	TVA Columbia Dam Land Disposition and Water Supply EIS's	1-46
	DOE Cleanup of the Oak Ridge Reservation	
	Sound state policy development	
95	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of	
	effective NEPA implementation with early involvement.	1-47
	Aircraft Carrier Homeporting	
	Fire fighting facility	
	Waterfront security barrier	
06	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should prepare case studies of	1 -40
90	ineffective practices	1 10
07	In order to caution agencies about improper actions	1-46
97	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of	4 40
	NEPA abuses	1-48
	Elimination of review for ocean activities	
	Elimination of review for timber harvest in national forests	1-48
	Acceleration of coalbed methane development in Wyoming's Powder	
	River Basin	1-49
	Nationwide wetlands destruction	
	Highway expansion	1-49
	Seismic exploration in Utah's Redrock Canyon Country	1-49
98	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should carry out an in-depth study	
	of the misapplication of NEPA in the Animas-LaPlata project	1-49
Ager	ncy Compliance with NEPA	
	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	
	comply with CEQ regulations and guidelines	1-50
	By including more practical information on the CEQ website	
	Through use of an environmental planning strategy	

To ensure consideration of reasonable, less environmentally harmful alternatives	1-52
To avoid economic and environmental costs	
To avoid bad faith proposals	
100. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that delays are	
caused by agency failure to comply with NEPA.	1-54
101. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide adequate	
guidance for agencies to comply with NEPA	1-54
With procedures detailed enough that agencies can be held accountable	1-55
102. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should investigate agencies that	
do not comply with NEPA.	1-55
103. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that there is a	
conflict of interest when the agency conducting the action is also the	
agency responsible for compliance with NEPA	1-55
104. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should conduct a special NEPA	
compliance study on the Department of Defense	1-55
105. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require regulatory or	
oversight agencies to legally enforce compliance with NEPA decisions	1-55
Consistent Application of NEPA	
106. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure consistent	
application of NEPA	1-56
To ascertain legally defensible planning processes	1-56
By streamlining the NEPA process	1-56
By establishing guidelines for all agencies to follow	1-57
By establishing objective criteria	1-57
By eliminating redundancy in the interpretation of NEPA	1-57
By making the post-decisional administrative review process more	
consistent	1-57
By ensuring that all agencies have the same appeal requirements	1-57
107. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that vague	
implementing rules lead to unequal application of NEPA	1-58
108. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the different	
NEPA requirements associated with different land allocations	
Funding for NEPA Processes	1-58
109. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
allocate greater resources to the NEPA process	1-58
With outside resources controlled by the appropriate decisionmaking	
official	
With resources openly and clearly identified	1-59
110. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the full funding	
of NEPA implementation	1-59
111. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should support state funding for	
NEPA.	1-59
112. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should support a shift in federal	
funding to states from cooperative agreements to block grants	
To give states the flexibility to establish their own environmental policies	1-59
113. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should support stable, non-grant	4
federal funding for environmental assistance for small businesses	
NEPA ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS	
NEPA Analysis Requirements General	1-65

	114.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure adequate NEPA	
		analysis	1-65
		By requiring long-term environmental effects studies	
		By requiring analysis of all affected issues	1-66
	115.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not allow complex	
		paperwork to diminish the need for environmental review on every	
		project	1-66
	116.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that analysis is	
		required, regardless of how land is acquired by the agency	1-66
	117.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require federal agencies,	
		as the model for state agencies, to perform the highest level of	
		environmental analysis	
		n view of their responsible position in safeguarding the environment	1-66
	118.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise federal agencies	
		not to defer analysis to state agencies.	1-67
	119.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
		emphasize their agency mission in NEPA analysis.	1-67
	120.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that supporting	
		studies should be carried out by the agency prescribing the action	1-67
	121.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies not to	
		defer analysis to subsequent NEPA stages	1-68
	122.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the influence of	4 00
	400	target achievement pressure on NEPA analysis	1-68
	123.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should properly classify projects	4 00
	404	for environmental review.	1-68
	124.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require the environmental	
		community to show significant effects from a proposed action before	4 00
	405	NEPA analysis will be initiated.	1-69
	125.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should allow agencies to build	4.00
	400	upon previous analyses and decisions for new NEPA projects	1-69
	126.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require adequate taking	4.00
	407	implication assessment.	1-69
	127.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should develop a new category of	4 00
	400	analysis—the Strategic Environmental Assessment	1-69
	128.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the trend for	4 00
۱ ۱	:1:_	indirect issues to become direct effects	
Appi		on of Analysis Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should eliminate study of small	1-70
	129.	scale projects	1 70
	120	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies to	1-70
	130.		4 70
	121	address only effects pertinent to the proposed action  Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should limit required information	1-70
	131.	to that which indicates the proposed action will have significant effects	1 70
	122	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies to provide	1-70
	132.	only concise information regarding areas that could potentially be	
		affected	1 70
	122	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	1-70
	133.	focus analysis on alternative management strategies to solve site-	
		specific management issues.	1_71
	12/	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies to	1-7 1
	134.	analyze actual, on-the-ground effects, not just types of effects	1_71
		anaryze aetaar, errune-ground erreets, net just types er erreets	ı - <i>i</i> - l

135. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
analyze how the proposed decision will affect natural and social	
resources beyond the planning unit boundaries	1-71
136. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should tailor review requirements	
to area of country and local situation	1-72
137. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to limit	
analysis to issues within their jurisdiction	1-72
Level of Analysis	
138. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address 'analysis	
paralysis.'	1-72
139. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the necessary	
level of information to be considered in environmental analysis	1-72
By granting agencies broad discretionary authority to determine the	
appropriate level of analysis	1_73
In the form of a "best compromise solution"	1-70 1 72
140 Public Concern: The CEO Took Force should encourage agencies not to	1-73
140. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies not to	4 70
allow fear of appeals to dictate their level of analysis	1-73
141. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not increase information or	4 -
analysis requirements	
To avoid more NEPA-based appeals	1-/4
142. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	
conduct NEPA analysis on a scale equal to that of the effects from	
similar actions	
Scope of Analysis	1-74
143. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force and agencies should regain	
control over defining the scope and requirements for NEPA analysis	1-74
144. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the temporal	
scope of analysis	1-75
145. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require adequate scoping	1-75
By including all interested persons in the significant issue identification	
process	1-76
146. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to stay	
within the scope of the NOI for the project.	1-76
147. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should allow agencies to direct	
the scope of analysis to pertinent issues	1.76
148. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
dismiss issues outside the scope of the analysis.	1 76
149. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should reduce scoping	
Time and Expense of Analysis	1-//
150. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the time and	4
expense of NEPA analysis	1-//
Through guidance on specific issues	1-78
151. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider statutory	
changes to NEPA to reduce the time and expense involved in NEPA	
analysis	1-79
152. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the effect of	
NEPA expense—that high priority actions requiring NEPA analysis are	
put aside in favor of lower priority work that does not require NEPA	
analysis	1-79
153. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should establish time limits for	
NEPA analysis	1-79

154. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not establish time limits fo	
NEPA analysis.	1-79
155. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that the NEPA	
process is not delayed by required environmental analysis, but by lack of	
funding, local support, and project complexity	1-80
156. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that any delays	
caused by required environmental analysis are justified	
Meaning of Significance	1-80
157. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify the meaning of	
significance	1-80
158. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify threshold	
significance	1-81
Through review of NEPA history and determination of necessary level of	
analysis	1-81
159. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should review the original	
congressional understanding of "significant federal action."	1-82
160. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should develop a recommended	
context and intensity worksheet or checklist for each potential	
environmental effect that agencies must complete to document why an	
effect is not significant	1-82
Analysis of Risk and Uncertainty	1-82
161. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require analysis to	
address scientific uncertainty.	1-82
162. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require risk assessments.	
Including analysis of consequences	
163. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should direct agencies to include	
error analysis.	
164. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should reinstate worst case	
scenario analysis.	1-85
165. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise against the	
"precautionary principle."	1-85
Because it is not scientific	
Cumulative Effects Analysis	
Cumulative Effects Analysis General	1-85
166. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify the definition of	
cumulative effects.	1-85
With regard to the scale of projects to be considered	
167. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require adequate	
cumulative effects analysis	1-85
By encouraging development of long-term data sets and analysis	
techniques essential for proper cumulative effects analysis	1-86
Should provide regulations for the adequate evaluation of indirect effects	1-00
and connected actionsand connected actions	1_87
Should prohibit fragmentation of analysis	
Should validate effects	
In all documents	
168. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	1-00
·	
coordinate cumulative effects analysis with other agencies operating in	1 00
the same ecosystem	1-00

169. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies not to	
use the distinction between programmatic and tiered analysis as an	
excuse to dismiss concerns over cumulative effects	1-88
170. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should revise the CEQ	
regulations to reflect CEQ's Cumulative Effects Handbook	1-88
171. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should review recent court	
decisions regarding cumulative effects analysis	1-89
172. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the history of	
cumulative effects analysis under the Endangered Species Act	1-89
173. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should eliminate the requirement	
for cumulative effects analysis	1-89
Or limit their scope	
Or require all interested parties to fund the analysis on an equal basis	
Application of Cumulative Effects Analysis	
174. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage more	
cumulative effects analysis at the project level	1-90
By promoting interagency collaboration on combined cumulative effects	
analysis for a given geograhic area	1-90
175. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require cumulative effects	1 00
analysis at the regional level	1_90
And clarify that analysis of regional effects should meet full NEPA	1 50
standards of procedure	1_01
176. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require cumulative effects	1-31
analysis of oil and gas drilling in western lands in one comprehensive,	
programmatic document	1 01
177. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not require NEPA projects	I- <del>9</del> I
that are designed to conform with local planning and zoning ordinances to undergo a cumulative effects analysis.	1 01
Level of Cumulative Effects Analysis	1-92
	4 00
parameters.	1-92
So that special interest groups cannot use documents as lengthy delays	4.00
for projects	1-93
179. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should reverse the trend of	4.00
requiring increasingly detailed cumulative effects analysis	
·	1-94
180. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the geographical	4.04
scope of cumulative effects analysis	
Analysis of Connected or Related Actions	1-94
181. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify the meaning of	
"connected," "similar," and "related."	1-94
To exclude any action that is not funded at the time the Notice of Intent is	
published in the Federal Register	1-94
182. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should specify when connected	
and related actions must be considered in NEPA analysis	1-95
Should not allow mining companies to determine whether connected	
operations are treated as separate mines or as parts of a single operation	1-95
183. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should eliminate the requirement	
that connected actions be considered in the same EIS	1-95
184. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should eliminate analysis of	
connected actions	1-95

Examples	1-96
185. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of EISs	
that lack adequate cumulative effects analysis	1-96
Cortez Gold Mines' South Pipeline Project	
Newmont Mining Corporation's South Operations Area Project	
Amendment (SOAPA)	1-96
Quality of Research/Best Available Science	
Quality General	
186. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure high quality agency	01
research	1-97
By encouraging use of the best advice from the best sources	
By encouraging use of reliable information	
By encouraging use of objective studies	
By encouraging use of 'outside' science	
By encouraging use of information provided by interest groups	
By requiring use of rigorous scientific methods	1-99
187. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should define best available	4 400
science	.1-100
As involving peer review, appropriate methods, logical reasoning,	4 400
quantitative analysis, appropriate context, and adequate references	.1-100
188. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the use of best	
available science	.1-100
189. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should establish criteria for the	
use of the best available science in NEPA documents	.1-101
190. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the gap between	
the best available science and plan recommendations	.1-101
And provide a direct link between the science used and the management	
implemented as part of the process record	.1-102
191. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require sufficient analysis	
to support conclusions	.1-102
192. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should evaluate the science used	
in EISs	
Including the track record of the science at actual mine sites	.1-103
193. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
develop consistent working relationships with both government and	
private researchers	.1-103
194. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies to include	
an accurate description of the existing environment	.1-104
195. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies not to	
over-state their analysis	.1-104
Office of Management and Budget's Guidelines	.1-105
196. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the Office of	
Management and Budget's guidelines regarding the quality of	
information	.1-105
197. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that all information	
in all NEPA documents is subject the OMB's guidelines.	.1-105
Peer Review	
198. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require peer review	
199. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require review of	
significant projects by independent scientific panels	1-107
Use of Data	1-107

200. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require use of current data.	1_107
201. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require use of adequate	
site-specific data	. 1-107
202. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recommend sampling or	
modeling when site-specific data is unavailable or difficult and costly to	4 400
collect	. 1-108
203. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address data	4 400
manipulation.	
Use of References	. 1-108
204. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require all reference	4 400
material to contain peer reviewed data.	. 1-108
205. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require a list of references	
for each resource issue.	. 1-108
206. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require full, accurate	
bibliographic citations.	
Accountability	. 1-109
207. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require accountability for	
document information.	. 1-109
Should hold persons submitting information accountable for the legitimacy	
of the information	. 1-109
Should hold federal agencies to the same scientific standards to which	
scientists in non-federal roles must adhere	. 1-109
Should require the decision maker to certify that all information in the	
document is accurate	. 1-110
208. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify the extent to which	
federal agencies that adopt a final EIS are responsible for ensuring that	
the EIS adequately supports the lead agency's decisions	. 1-110
209. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to follow	
the federal policy on research misconduct	
Determination of Need and Development/Use of Alternatives	. 1-111
Determination of Need and Alternatives General	. 1-111
210. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the determination	
of need	. 1-111
211. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
focus on issue identification and examination of projects and	
alternatives	. 1-111
212. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should streamline issue	
designation	. 1-111
213. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to define	
the purpose and need of projects according to public benefit, not	
applicant intent.	. 1-111
214. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
involve the public when determining the purpose and need for most	
projects	.1-112
215. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not limit the analysis of	
purpose and need and development of alternatives	1-112
216. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should caution agencies against	
making changes to the proposed action and alternatives late in the	
process	1-112
F	2

217. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what factors	
should guide alternative development	1-112
218. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should sponsor a	
conference/workshop to address the identification of alternatives, and	
publish the results of the workshop as a guidance document	1-113
219. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
engage stakeholders in developing alternatives	1-113
220. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider whether the	
analysis of alternatives, in its present form, inherently frustrates the	
process of reaching decisions	1-113
221. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address agencies'	
tendency to predetermine the outcome based on the alternatives they	
choose	1-114
222. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should direct agencies to initially	
analyze only the lead agency's proposed project; then, if needed,	
develop alternatives in response to the initial analysis	1-114
Range of Alternatives	1-115
223. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require consideration of an	
adequate range of alternatives	
To avoid appeals	
For mining projects	1-115
224. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require reasonable	
alternatives.	1-116
225. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies that	
NEPA does not require the analysis of every conceivable alternative	1-116
226. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should limit the number of	
alternatives.	
By combining closely connected actions to avoid analytical difficulties	1-116
227. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should limit the number of	4 440
required alternatives to two.	1-116
228. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
consider a range of outcomes, as opposed to specific outcomes or fixed	4 447
levels of outcomes.	
No Action Alternative	1-117
229. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the appropriate use of the No Action Alternative	4 447
230. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should define the No Action	1-117
Alternative as the status quo.	1 117
231. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require adequate analysis	1-117
of the No Action Alternative	1 110
NEPA DOCUMENTATION	
NEPA Documentation General	
232. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the increasing	1-124
emphasis on documentation.	1_12/
233. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should focus on the internal	1-124
aspects of the NEPA process regarding document preparation	1-12/
234. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that NEPA	i - IZ <del>4</del>
documents should be understood as a report of the planning process	1-125
235. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage creation of	120
more concise joint NEPA documents.	1-125

236	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should reduce the number of	
	specialists required to contribute to a NEPA document	.1-125
237	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the fear of NEPA	
	documents becoming too quickly outdated	1-126
238	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	
	complete NEPA documents before making decisions	1-126
239	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that land and	
	resource management plans are not decision documents, and that	
	decisions regarding proposed activities should be limited to site-specific	
	actions	1-126
240	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the current	20
2.0	limitations of the Federal Register Notice of Intent and document filing	
	requirements for interagency coordination and public involvement	1-126
2/1	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require EAs and EISs to	. 1-120
2 <del>4</del> 1	be subject to greater public scrutiny	1 127
242	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require all documentation	. 1-121
242		1 107
0.40	to be available on the Internet  Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the concern that	. 1-12/
243		
	centralized documentation repositories are an intentional means to	4 407
0.4.4	restrict access to critical data	.1-127
244	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address agencies'	
<u> </u>	tendency to delay or withdraw NEPA documents without explanation	
	f Documents	. 1-127
245	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure adequate	
	document preparation	. 1-127
246	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that the quality	
	of documents reflects the degree of NEPA compliance.	. 1-128
247	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the poor quality of	
	NEPA documents produced by contractors	. 1-128
248	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the deficiencies in	
	the Bureau of Land Management project documents	.1-128
Number	of Documents	
249	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should reduce the number of	
	NEPA documents.	1-129
250	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
	combine environmental reviews required by multiple regulatory acts into	
	a single document.	1-129
251	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should quantify the number of	0
	EAs, FONSIs, and EISs created annually, the number of EISs	
	challenged in court, and the number that are upheld.	1-130
	To see how well NEPA is currently working	
	on of Documents	
	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that NEPA	. 1-150
202	documents ought to address resources, public concerns, desired future	
	conditions, and management strategies.	1-120
252	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage use of EISs for	. 1-130
203	<u> </u>	1 120
05.4	large-scale plans.	. 1-130
∠54	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage use of EAs and	1 400
055	EISs only for large-scale projects	. 1-130
255	. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage use of EAs for	4 404
	large-scale highway projects	. 1-131

256. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that	
geographically broad EISs increase the risk of legal challenges	1-131
257. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should restrict the use of EAs to	
activities that threaten endangered species	1-131
258. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should direct that EAs consider	
activities on adjacent lands.	1-131
259. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should minimize the need for CE	0.
or EA analysis for projects in already developed sites	1_131
260. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not require EAs for long-	1-101
	1 121
standing resource management projects	1-131
261. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the trend to	
require states receiving grant monies to prepare an EA for actions	4 400
appropriate for categorical exclusions.	1-132
262. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should eliminate the requirement	
to prepare NEPA documents for forest plan revisions or amendments	
Time and Expense of Document Preparation	1-132
263. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the time and	
expense of NEPA document preparation	1-132
264. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should set time limits for NEPA	
document preparation.	1-133
According to categories of actions	
265. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the open-ended	
consultation processes	1_13/
With a goal of either setting mandatory time periods or issuing gudance	1-134
	1 121
on conditional FONSI's and ROD's	
Required Information in Documents	1-134
266. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify the level of analysis	4 40 4
needed in all documents.	1-134
267. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the requirement	
that all data be included in the document	1-135
268. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should simplify NEPA documents	
by including only the current analysis	1-135
269. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require the inclusion of	
specific information in all NEPA documents.	1-135
New Information and Supplemental Documentation	
270. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that NEPA review is	
to be based on existing information	
271. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should impose a time limit on	
consideration of new information	1_135
272. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should narrow the definition of	1-100
	1 126
new information	1-130
	4 400
continually analyze new information	1-136
274. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies to	
consider new information only when it points to data flaws or risks to	
public health	1-136
275. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure that controversies	
requiring further documentation relate to scientific and biological	
disagreements, not philosophical disagreements	1-136
276. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should define "new information"	
that necessitates a supplemental EIS.	1-136

By means of a reliability threshold	1-137
By means of a stop action threshold	1-137
277. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should eliminate the requirement	
for a supplemental EA.	1-138
278. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that there is no	
requirement for a supplemental EA	1-138
Relation Between Different NEPA Documents	
EAs and EISs	
279. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address agencies' trend to	. 1-150
	1 120
require EISs for projects which previously only required EAs	. 1-130
280. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the trend to make	4 400
EAs more like EISs	1-139
281. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide guidance on	
whether an EA or EIS is necessary	1-139
282. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that EAs should be	
used to determine whether an EIS is needed	1-140
283. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should distinguish the procedural	
requirements for EAs and EISs	1-140
284. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should distinguish the analysis	
requirements for EAs and EISs	1-141
285. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should develop new requirements	
for EAs that differ in organization and contents from the requirements for	
EISs	1-141
286. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require an EIS when an	
EA would serve as a functional EIS.	1_1/1
287. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not require an EIS rather	. I-I <del>4</del> I
	1 1 1 1
than an EA based on effects on employment	1-141
288. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should apply the same rules to	4 4 4 4
EAs as to EISs	1-141
289. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require EAs to be	
organized like EISs	1-141
290. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that EAs should not	
be used when EISs are clearly required	1-142
291. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise USDA APHIS to	
develop a new implementing regulation revising 7 CFR Section 372.5 to	
eliminate the presumption that an EA will suffice rather than an EIS	1-142
EAs and FONSIs	1-144
292. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should define the required content	
of a FONSI and require it to be incorporated into the supporting EA	
293. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider using FONSIs	
instead of EAs.	1-144
Specific NEPA Documents	
Federal Register Notice	
294. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require any Federal	1-144
Register notice to prepare an EA or EIS to include a full description of	4 4 4 4
the proposed action	
EAs	. 1-144
295. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide guidance on the	
preparation of EAs	1-144
296. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should simplify the requirements	
for EAs	1-145

	297. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that EA	
	requirements should result in shorter documents.	.1-145
	298. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should allow lead agencies to	
	decide how best to prepare EAs for individual projects	.1-145
	299. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that CEQ	
	regulations currently afford adequate flexibility regarding EAs	.1-146
	300. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that preparation of	
	an EA is a judicial review requirement, not a NEPA requirement	1-146
	301. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should revise CEQ regulations to	
	appropriately restrict judicial review of EAs.	1-146
	So that they may fulfill their intended role as "concise public documents"	. 1 140
	that "briefly" determine that either a FONSI or an EIS will be the next step	1-1/16
	302. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should expand the scope of the	. 1-140
	EA to address legal responsibilities	1 116
		. 1-140
	303. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require EAs to include	4 4 4 7
	maps and figures.	.1-14/
	304. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify guidance on the	4 4 4 7
	evaluation of alternatives in EAs.	.1-147
	Should advise agencies to consider alternatives in EA's only when there	
	are unresolved conflicts	.1-147
	305. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require minimum scoping	
	efforts for EAs.	.1-147
	306. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should establish a minimum	
	public notice and review requirement for EAs	.1-148
	307. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that EAs need only	
	be made available to the public; public comment is not required	.1-148
	308. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to make	
	EAs public via the Internet	.1-149
	309. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should eliminate the requirement	
	to produce EAs	.1-149
	310. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should examine the Office of	
	Inspector General's review of the Forest Service's use of EAs	.1-149
ΕI	Ss	
	311. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should review the track record of	
	EISs.	.1-150
	To see what works best	.1-150
	312. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should set boundaries regarding	
	what needs to be evaluated in EISs.	1-150
	313. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that the intent of an	
	EIS is to analyze actions that will <i>adversely</i> affect the human	
	environment	1-150
	314. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that management	. 1 100
	flexibility, in and of itself, is not a resource or an action and has no place	
	in EISs.	1_150
	315. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address agencies' trend to	. 1-130
	require multiple EISs	1_151
	Including the effect of multiple EIS's on the length of the NEPA process	
		. 1-151
	316. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address agency abuse of	1 151
	the EIS requirement.	. 1-151
	317. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should eliminate the requirement	4 454
	to produce EISs.	.1-151

FONSIs	. 1-152
318. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide guidance on the content of FONSIs.	1-152
319. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify the level of analysis	
required to support a FONSI	. 1-152
sufficient	. 1-152
FONSI.  322. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should explain how an agency	. 1-152
can justify a FONSI for an individual proposal, when that proposal	
contributes to an effect that is already cumulatively significant	. 1-152
"convincing statement of reasons" why no EIS is required which the Ninth Circuit Court requires of a FONSI	1-153
Document Language and Formatting	
324. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage more	
accessible language in NEPA documents	
in NEPA documents	. 1-153
data presentation in NEPA documents	. 1-153
separate the "affected environment" and "environmental consequences" sections in NEPA documents	1 151
328. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should revise the EIS format with	
screening worksheets	. 1-154
standardize EAsRegarding length and number of alternatives	
330. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage use of a checklist format for EAs	
331. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide consistent	
guidelines on format and content for EAs and FONSIs Examples	
332. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should only consider examples of best practices from EAs or EISs that have been appealed or litigated	. 1-155
STAFFING AND TRAINING	
Staffing and Training General	
333. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the need for adequate staffing	1-156
334. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that the reason the NEPA process is slow is because land management employees are	
overworked	
streamline internal procedures and manage personnel effectively	
institute a performance based advancement and rewards system	
-9,	

338. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
hire qualified scientists and managers	1-157
339. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
allow hired experts to do their jobs as NEPA specialists	1-158
340. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should develop a consistent	
position description for NEPA specialists	1-158
341. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
fund more specialists at the project level	1-158
342. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
give authority and responsibility to people for decisionmaking as related	
to their field of expertise.	1-158
343. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address agency reliance	100
on in-house expert opinions.	1_150
344. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agency	1-130
	1 150
personnel to represent agency decisions consistently	1-159
345. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	4 450
hold employees accountable for their actions	1-159
346. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
provide well trained editors and publishers to the districts	1-159
347. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage NEPA training	
for all agency personnel.	
Use of Private Contractors	1-160
348. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the use of	
experts and professionals from the private sector	
Including local experts	1-161
349. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the use of	
private contractors	1-161
350. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
allow applicants and contractors to prepare Draft EAs and EISs	1-161
351. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should discourage the use of	
private contractors.	1-161
Due to potential conflicts of interest	
ID Teams	
352. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage better	
leadership and management of the ID team by the project decision	
maker	1-163
353. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require adequate	100
leadership training for ID teams	1-164
To lessen the tendency to promote personal agendas	
354. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the qualifications	1-104
of ID team members	1 161
	1-104
355. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agreement	4 404
between ID team members regarding project goals and objectives	1-164
356. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage decision	4 40=
makers to define ID team members' writing responsibilities.	1-165
357. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
improve the makeup, training, and expertise of staff teams working on	
ski area NEPA issues	
DECISIONMAKING AUTHORITY	
Decisionmaking Authority General	1-166

	58. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the CEQ and	
	agencies to regain control over defining the requirements of NEPA1	-166
	Rather than allowing the courts control1	
Role	Governments1	
	59. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that NEPA has	
	proved to be the most significant process available for state input to	
	major federal agency decisions	-167
	60. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage states to make	
	a voluntary commitment to environmental stewardship1	-167
	By going beyond the required minimum	
	61. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	-107
	give more weight to elected officials when reaching final decisions	167
		-107
	62. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies not to	467
D - I -	cater to local politicians	
Role	Agencies	-167
	63. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that U.S.	40=
	agencies should set an example for the rest of the world	-167
	64. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should define the key roles and	
	responsibilities of agencies involved in the NEPA process	-168
	65. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	
	develop an internal accountability process for responsible management 1	-168
	And ensure that designated program tasks are accomplished in an	
	efficient, cost-effective and timely manner1	-168
	66. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should give land managers the	
	authority to act1	
Role	Interest Groups1	-168
	67. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies not to	
	cater to special interests1	-168
	Corporate interests1	-169
	68. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should eliminate loopholes in	
	regulations for special interest groups1	-169
	69. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the degree to	
	which special interests are allowed to dictate the terms of consultation 1	-169
	70. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise imposing larger	
	penalties on corporations that violate environmental regulations	-169
	71. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not allow special interests	
	to use NEPA to drag out the process1	-170
	72. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not allow special interest	
	groups to eliminate multiple uses on public lands	-170
	73. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise discontinuing	
	government-funded environmental agencies	-171
	74. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise holding	
	environmentalists accountable for their activities1	-171
Rola	Other Groups/The General Public	
11016	75. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should grant decisionmaking	* 1 <i>1</i> 1
	authority to unbiased, non-agency third parties1	_171
	76. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should grant decisionmaking	-1/1
		171
	authority to scientists and conservationists	-1/1
	77. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require that NEPA clearly	174
	state the important roles that citizens have in the NEPA process	-1/1

378. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should never consider citizens, or	
groups of citizens, to be special interest groups or "competing interests."	
PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	
Public Involvement General	.1-174
379. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage adequate	
public involvement	
By encouraging agencies to be responsive to the public	.1-175
By encouraging early involvement of both regulatory agencies and the	
public	
Without imposing unnecessary cost or burden	
To maintain the integrity of the NEPA process	.1-176
380. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not weaken NEPA public	
involvement requirements.	.1-177
381. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
improve stakeholder participation	.1-178
By clarifying the basis for stakeholder participation	.1-178
By encouraging early involvement of key stakeholders	.1-178
382. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require the Environmental	
Protection Agency to provide those affected by its regulatory	
determinations the opportunity to comment	.1-178
383. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage involvement by	
independent scientists	.1-178
384. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should implement a performance	
based acknowledgement system to utilize interested parties in a	
constructive manner	.1-178
385. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
seek human dimensions assistance from specialists in the private and/or	4 4 7 0
academic community to facilitate public involvement activities.	.1-179
386. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	4 470
formalize a plan to avoid hindrances to effective public participation	
Agency Outreach Efforts	.1-180
387. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	4 400
adequately notify the public of proposed actions.	.1-180
By providing adequate notification of scoping	
By notifying nearby residents	
By making better use of mailing lists of potential stakeholders and interest	
groups	. 1-181
determine which local governments to contact and how contact should be established	1 101
389. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage federal	. 1-101
agencies to use a central point of contact when forwarding NEPA	
documents to states	1 101
By following the example set by the Department of Energy	. 1-101 1 101
By using state clearinghouses	. I - I O I 1 1 O 1
390. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	. 1-101
provide the public with convenient access to information	1_101
391. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies to	. 1-101
distribute free copies of environmental documents to each person who	
submits scoping comments	1_122
By changing Section 1506.6(f)	1-182

By providing CD copies to those who can use them	. 1-182
392. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should publish in the Federal	
Register the full Environmental Protection Agency report prepared	
pursuant to the NEPA Process.	. 1-182
393. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
provide adequate contact information regarding NEPA actions in the	
Federal Register	1-182
394. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide a pamphlet	. 1 102
describing the NEPA process to the public	1-183
Public Meetings	
395. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require meetings to be	. 1-103
held at appropriate locations	1 100
	. 1-103
396. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agency	4 400
personnel to interact with meeting participants at public meetings	. 1-183
By using public meetings as a venue for explaining the proposed action	4 40 4
and accepting comment	
Through use of a round table format	. 1-184
397. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that public	
meetings are not effective venues for meaningful public involvement	
Comment Periods	. 1-185
398. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require adequate	
comment periods	
45 days from the date of receipt for EA's	. 1-185
90-days for all actions	. 1-185
90 to 120 days	.1-186
Effective Public Comment	. 1-186
399. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should educate the public on how	
to effectively participate in the commenting process	. 1-186
400. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the public to	
provide site-specific concerns and issues	. 1-186
Agency Use of Public Comment	
Use of Comment General	
401. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to accept	
comments	1-186
402. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	00
immediately acknowledge receipt of comment	. 1-187
And provide an accurate response within five working days	
403. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	. 1-107
address all comments	1 107
	. 1-101
404. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	4 407
demonstrate sincere interest in public comment and concerns	
Agencies should consider public opinion as seriously as agency opinions	
Analysis of Comment	. 1-188
405. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
give greater weight to comments from residents and local and state	
elected officials.	. 1-188
406. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
respect comments from all citizens, not just local residents	. 1-189
407. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
require voter consensus prior to implementing any regulatory measures	
Through use of an objective third party to report the level of support	. 1-190

408. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that the NEPA	
process is not a vote.	.1-190
409. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should develop guidelines for	
handling eleventh hour comments	.1-190
That last minute comments should not receive the same level of	
consideration as those offered earlier in the process	.1-190
410. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
dismiss comments and appeals that are out of the scope of the project	.1-191
411. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
analyze substantive comment separately from statements of preference	
or personal values	.1-191
412. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that the Content	
Analysis Enterprise Team does not analyze public comment fairly	.1-191
Form of Comment Submission	
413. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should revise the process by	
which agencies treat faxes, e-mails, and form letters	.1-191
414. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should prohibit the submission of	
comments through electronic means	.1-192
Collaboration	
415. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
cooperate with all interested parties	1-192
Through early consultation	
416. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should improve coordination	
between government agencies and the public	1-193
417. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should emphasize stakeholder	. 1 150
collaboration	1_103
To ensure that significant issues are resolved early in the process	1-103
By changing the NEPA paradigm from confrontation to collaboration	
418. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage collaboration	. 1-193
between environmental and corporate interest groups	1 102
419. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should penalize collaborating	. 1-193
participants who choose to appeal or litigate	1 104
	.1-194
420. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	1 101
implement a dispute resolution process.	
RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER PLANNING PROCESSES	
Licensing/Permitting Processes	
Licensing/Permitting Processes General	.1-196
421. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the required	4 400
review for permitting processes	
To minimize duplication of analysis and the public participation process	.1-196
422. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage more timely	4 400
permitting processes	.1-196
423. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should streamline the permitting	
process to conduct field trials and experimental releases	.1-197
424. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should support increased funding	
for permitting processes	.1-197
425. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage collaboration	
with stakeholders in licensing processes	.1-197
426. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should waive all subsequent	
review levels during the NEPA review of the application preparation	
process	.1-197

	If it can be documented that there has been adequate coordination with	
	resource agencies	1-197
	ng	1-198
427	7. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should expedite the permitting	
	process for grazing	1-198
428	B. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that the complex	
	weaving of varying interests with long-term and annual planning and	
	permit issuance has made the application of NEPA contentious	1-199
429	9. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies to	
	conduct NEPA analysis at the time of the initial issuance of grazing	
	permits, not at the time of reissuance	1-199
430	D. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should allow grazing permits to be	
	renewed if the agency fails to complete the renewal prior to their	
	expiration	1-199
431	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not require separate	
.0	NEPA analysis for grazing permits	1-199
432	2. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not impose the NEPA	1 100
702	process on permit renewals for unchanged historic use	1-200
123	3. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not allow agencies to use	1-200
430	the permit renewal process as a means to end grazing	1 201
O:I O	Gas, and Mineral Development	
•	· ·	1-201
434	4. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should create a more efficient	1 201
405	permitting process for mining	1-201
435	5. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to involve	4 004
404	the public in the permitting process for mining.	1-201
436	6. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	
	adequately notify the public of applications for a permit to drill	1-202
437	7. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should review the Bureau of Land	
	Management's public notification practices, particularly in the area of	
	application for permit to drill approvals	1-203
438	B. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide the Bureau of	
	Land Management with recommendations to ensure more meaningful	
	public involvement prior to the selling of oil and gas lease parcels	1-204
439	9. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require the Bureau of Land	
	Management to notify landowners who are affected by split-estate	
	situations.	1-205
440	). Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage applicants to	
	work with stakeholders before filing applications	1-205
	Should review "Ideas for Better Stakeholder Involvement in the Interstate	
	Natural Gas Pipeline Planning Pre-Filing Process"	1-205
441	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should review violations of the	00
	prohibition against interim actions in the oil and gas program throughout	
	the West	1-206
	And provide recommendations to the Bureau of Land Management	1 200
	regarding Section 1506.1	1-206
l Itilitiz	9S	
	2. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should facilitate coordination	1-200
442		1 206
110	among agencies to issue electric utility permits in a timely manner	1-200
443	3. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should allow applicants for	1 007
	electricity permits to request the designation of a lead federal agency	. 1-∠∪/

444. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should improve federal permitting	
processes to retain existing electricity facilities and increase investment	
in electric infrastructure	1-207
in the hydroelectric relicensing process	1-207
446. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require the Federal Energ	
Regulatory Commission to allow NEPA scoping as part of the pre-	у
license application, and to include all regulatory conditions in its	
document	1-207
To ensure that the license satisfies the public interest standard of section	
10(a)(1) of the Federal Power Act	
Other	
447. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should revise the wetland	200
permitting process to more adequately protect wetlands	1-208
448. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the economic	200
effects of requiring the NEPA process for general construction permits.	1-208
Examples	
449. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of	200
effective permitting processes	1-209
The Salinas Valley Watershed Permit Coordination Program	
Fransportation Planning	
450. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the Departmer	
of Transportation to improve its planning processes	
By integrating existing resource protection efforts	
By streamlining its planning and environmental regulatory approach	
By streamlining the NEPA process for federally funded transportation	
construction	1-210
451. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage better	
integration of transportation planning and NEPA planning	1-211
With respect to analysis and public involvement	
452. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify expectations of	
transportation agencies and environmental agencies	1-211
453. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage transportation	
agencies to advance projects that reflect environmental sensitivity as a	
priority	1-212
454. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
consider the effects of transportation decisions on minority groups	1-212
To comply with environmental justice regulations	
455. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should give standing to guidance	
derived from transportation planning processes	
456. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that although	
very few federally funded highway projects require in-depth	
environmental study, most such projects are very expensive	1-213
457. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address delays in	
transportation planning	1-213
458. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide a mechanism for	
local agencies to better communicate with the Federal Highway	
Administration via the State Transportation Department in resolving	
NEPA issues in a timely manner	1-214
459. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the use of the	
review process by local residents to delay rail line construction projects.	1-214

Winter Recreation Planning	. 1-215
460. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
achieve the goals and objectives outlined in the December 4, 1996,	
Memorandum of Understanding regarding management of winter	
recreational sites	. 1-215
461. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies not to	
impose more requirements on the ski industry than on other land users	. 1-215
RELATION TO LAWS, REGULATIONS, AND POLICIES	. 1-215
Relation to Laws, Regulations, and Policies General	
462. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage legislation	
which promotes effective public involvement but discourages project	
opponents from manipulating the process for their own interests	. 1-218
463. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage states to adopt	
"Little NEPA" laws	. 1-219
464. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the	
implementation of stricter laws that focus on improving the health of	
public lands.	. 1-219
465. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the	
Administration not to weaken existing laws that protect the environment	. 1-219
466. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should discourage Congress from	
suspending environmental laws	1-219
Specific Laws/Acts	
Antiquities Act	
467. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage legislation to	
amend the Antiquities Act.	1-220
468. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise the Administration	
not to use the Antiquities Act to avoid complying with NEPA	1-220
Appeals Reform Act	
469. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage revision of the	
Appeals Reform Act and NEPA	1-221
To protect collaborative efforts from litigation	1-221
Clean Air Act	1-221
470. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage revision of the	
Clean Air Act compliance requirements	1-221
471. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage a clear	
guidance document for the New Source Review Program	1-222
Data Quality Act	
472. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require all NEPA	. 1-222
documents to comply with the Data Quality Act.	1-222
Endangered Species Act	1-222
473. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the	. 1-222
reconsideration of the Endangered Species Act	1-222
474. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage modification of	. 1-222
the Endangered Species Act	1-222
475. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should support the streamlining of	. 1-222
the Endangered Species Act	1-222
Through revision of the consultation process	
476. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the repeal of	. I-ZZZ
the Endangered Species Act	1-223
Equal Access to Justice Act	
Equal / 100000 to oudiloo / 10t	

477. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the revision of	
the Equal Access to Justice Act.	.1-223
By limiting the payments law firms receive from the government for	
environmental litigation	.1-223
Farmland Protection Act and Farmland Policy Protection Act	
478. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should integrate NEPA with the	
Farmland Protection Act and the Farmland Policy Protection Act	.1-224
By incorporating a Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Review	
Federal Advisory Committee Act	
479. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the revision of	
the Federal Advisory Committee Act	.1-225
Because it limits agencies' ability to cooperate with affected members of	
the public	.1-225
Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act; and Food Quality	
Protection Act	.1-225
480. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address redundancies	
between NEPA; the Federal Fungicide, Insecticide, and Rodenticide Act;	
and the Food Quality Protection Act	.1-225
Regarding evaluation of herbicide safety	.1-225
Freedom of Information Act	.1-225
481. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	
proactively manage information that may be needed in response to	
Freedom of Information Act requests.	.1-225
482. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not allow Freedom of	
Information Act requests for incomplete biological evaluations and	
assessments	
General Mining Law	.1-226
483. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage review of the	
General Mining Law of 1872.	
Indoor Radon Abatement Act	.1-226
484. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require the Department of	
Housing and Urban Development to comply with the 1988 Indoor Radon	
Abatement Act	
	.1-227
485. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the Unfunded	
Mandates Reform Act, as applied to the Federal Advisory Committee	
Act, in the context of cooperating agency relationships	
Other	.1-228
486. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should support Larry Craig's draft	
bill "Public Lands Planning and Management Improvement Act of 2002."	.1-228
487. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the Expedited	
Airport System Enhancement Initiative	.1-228
488. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should review the current	
application of wetlands law	.1-228
489. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should follow the intent of U.S.C.	
44, Chapter 3506, NEPA Section 1500.1, NEPA 1501.7	
Appeals Process	
Appeals Process General	.1-229
490. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
legally defend their projects	.1-229

By building contingency time into the NEPA process for appeal and	
litigation work by the ID team	. 1-229
491. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should define processes in order	
to withstand legal challenges	. 1-229
492. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that judicious	
rulemaking will reduce NEPA procedural burdens and litigation	
	. 1-229
493. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should develop an administrative	
resolution process for checks and balances short of expensive litigation	
regarding issues of non-compliance.	1-229
494. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider legal and	
procedural reforms that protect developers from ongoing legal	
challenges	1-230
495. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage development of	. 1 200
a non-appealable "Core Plan" for public land management	1-230
Which is developed through the EIS process but is not subject to	. 1-230
executive, legislative, or judicial review	1_230
496. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require NEPA lawsuits to	. 1-230
be heard in a corresponding district court.	1 221
497. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the elimination	. 1-231
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 221
of the appeals process	
· ·	. 1-231
498. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the use of the	4 004
appeals process to delay or halt projects	
Should not allow environmental groups to tie up the process	
Should not allow lawsuits to cripple the natural resource base	. 1-232
499. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should revise the appeals process	4 000
to restrict or discourage litigation.	
Should not allow appeals under 36 CFR 215 to result in an automatic stay.	
Should limit appeals to proposed actions consistent with a land use plan	. 1-233
Should require the appellant to exhaust administrative remedies before	4 000
the appeal is considered	. 1-233
Should require appeals to contain substantive grounds before being	4 000
considered	
Should include minimum informational standards for appeals	. 1-233
Should allow excluded decisions to proceed without the possibility of	
administrative appeal	
Should require short agency response time and outside scientific review	
Should include a statute of limitations in NEPA	. 1-234
500. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the cost of	
appeals	. 1-234
Should not allow special interest groups to file lawsuits that are ultimately	
paid for by the American public	
Should rquire appellants to bear the cost of appeals shown to be frivolous.	
Should require appellants to pay for the administrative record	
Appeal Standing	. 1-235
501. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should establish criteria for	
becoming an interested party	. 1-235
502. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should amend the definition of	
interested party to include only those who are economically affected	. 1-235

503. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should give economic interests the same standing to challenge NEPA actions as that given to	4 005
environmental interests	
505. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should create filing dates requiring interested parties to express their concerns up front during the	
comment period.	
Regulatory Requirements	.1-237
506. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should tighten NEPA	1 227
implementation regulations.  507. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should integrate NEPA with other	
regulatory requirements508. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage practices that adequately respond to state and local agency regulatory and proprietary	. 1 <b>-</b> 23 <i>1</i>
concerns on new project proposals	.1-238
509. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should grant states the flexibility to demonstrate compliance with the Environmental Protection Agency	
within the parameters of federal programs	.1-238
510. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require NEPA planning in coastal zones to include a Coastal Zone Management Act Consistency	4 000
Determination	.1-238
511. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the over- application of NEPA to the Federal Aid in Fish and Wildlife Restoration Programs.	1 220
512. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should assess how the Office of	. 1-233
Management and Budget information quality guidelines will affect timely decisions under the NEPA process.	1-239
513. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the conflict between NEPA demands for disclosure of alternatives and statutory	200
prohibitions against disclosure of proprietary and competition sensitive	
information.	.1-239
And provide guidance regarding the necessary level of public disclosure	.1-239
American Indian Interests	
514. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should determine who is an	
	.1-242
515. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the preservation	
of American Indian sacred sites as a function of NEPA	.1-242
516. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the effects of the	
NEPA process on the Pine Ridge Reservation	
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS OF PLANNING	
Environmental Considerations General	.1-246
517. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage protection of	
the environment.	.1-246
Should encourage lead agencies to select alternatives to minimize	4 0 40
environmental effects	.1-246
518. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to make	4 04-
substantive environmental progress	
Federal Communications Commission	
United States Border Patrol	
Department of the Interior	. 1-24/

		Department of Agriculture	
	[	Department of Transportation	1-248
	F	Public land agencies	1-248
		Army Corps of Engineers and Environmental Protection Agency	
		Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should discourage agencies from	
		using NEPA to avoid taking immediate action to prevent environmental	
		degradation.	1-248
	520	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require the ecological	1 270
	JZU.	ramifications of agency inaction to be substantively incorporated into	
			1 240
	E04	planning and decisionmaking processes	1-240
	521.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies to	4 0 40
		consider only environmental risk, not monetary cost	1-249
	522.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies to	
		address environmental concerns at the site-specific level	1-249
	523.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should establish thresholds of	
		significance for each environmental resource.	1-249
	524.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
		consider the potential negative environmental effects of changing land	
		allocations.	1-250
	525	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide thresholds or	
	0_0.	parameters where staged requirements can be implemented based on	
		magnitude of effect.	1-250
	526	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	1-230
	J20.		
		establish the non-existence of ecological interdependencies associated	4 050
	<b>507</b>	with proposed activities	1-250
	527.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require NEPA analysis to	
		include the synergistic effects of chemicals and hazardous materials in	
		air, water, or in soil at various pH levels	1-250
	528.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require the Department of	
		Housing and Urban Development to include radon in its environmental	
		review procedures.	1-250
	529.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage examination of	
		recent books on ecosystem management, diversity, and sustainability	1-251
	530.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise the Administration	
		to participate in the Kyoto Accords	1-251
	531.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise the Administration	_
	•••	to participate in Earth Summit II	1-251
Δir		to participate in Editin Committee	1-251
,		Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the adequacy of	1 201
	002.	air quality analysis in NEPA documents	1_251
	<b>E22</b>		1-231
	ეეე.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should amend NEPA to protect air	1 050
	<b>FO</b> 4	quality	1-202
	534.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require the Federal	
		Highway Administration to conduct NEPA analysis of air toxics and	4 0=0
		induced traffic.	
		Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address mobile emissions	1-253
	536.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage various actions	
		to reduce mobile emissions.	1-253
	537.	Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require upwind sources of	
		pollution to meet Clean Air Act requirements through enforcement of the	
		Environmental Protection Agency's State Implementation Plan	1-253
		5 ,	

538. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage congressional	
hearings to focus awareness on interstate ozone transport issues	1-253
Water	1-254
539. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise the strengthening	
of water quality regulations	1-254
540. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage periodic review	
or institutionalized performance audits for federal water programs	1-254
To demonstrate that policy focus remains sound and that limited	
resources are well spent	1-254
541. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require peer review for	
actions affecting water delivery.	1-254
542. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage increased	
federal funding for water infrastructure improvements	1-254
543. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should standardize the definition	0 .
of wetland.	1-254
To aid interagency cooperation	
544. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the	.1 207
preservation of rivers	1-255
The Mattaponi River	
The Pamunkey River	
545. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should support federal funding for	. 1-255
management study of lakes to determine the optimum way to manage	4 055
diverse activities on public water bodies	. 1-255
546. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should include the marine	4 055
environment in NEPA requirements.	1-255
547. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise the City of Newport	4 050
News to recover water from existing sources.	
Agricultural Land	.1-257
548. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should reissue guidance	4 055
regarding the loss of agricultural resources	
And clarify that agricultural resources include both land and water	
Wildlife/Habitat	1-257
549. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
use sector professionals early in the project development phase to	
identify important habitat areas.	1-257
550. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the Forest	
Service to revise its process for viability and the related "well-distributed	
populations" issue	1-257
551. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the	
participation of the Fish and Wildlife Service in the preparation of state	
documents regarding threatened and endangered species	
Vegetation	1-258
552. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage better	
understanding of vegetative structure and composition principles	1-258
553. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the	
preservation of some old growth forests	1-258
554. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should direct agencies to manage	
harvested land through reforestation	1-258
555. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the effect of	
NEPA on management of invasive weeds	.1-258
NEPA expense is resulting in the inability to treat invasive weeds	

556. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies to control	
exotic plants	1-259
Emergency Circumstances	1-259
557. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should improve the process for	
determining emergency circumstances	1-259
By creating a category for significant actions needing urgent review	
558. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider mechanisms to	
clarify accelerated environmental review of important projects	1-260
Through use of "arrangements" under 40 CFR 1506.11 to deal with	
emergencies	1-260
Forest Health Management	
559. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the effect of	
NEPA on forest health treatments	1-260
Agencies are unable to treat insect infestations in a timely manner	
560. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the need for	00
states to comply with the NEPA process in order to procure federal	
funding for forest health treatments.	1-261
Fire Management	
561. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the effect of	. 20.
NEPA on fire plan projects	1-261
562. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that NEPA	. 20.
requirements result in the inability to thin fuel loads in a timely manner	1-261
563. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that wildfires are	1 201
an indication of the lack of agency land management and failure of	
decisionmaking processesdecisionmaking processes	1-261
564. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage appropriately	1-201
conducted timber harvest and controlled burns	1-262
Natural Resource Development	
Natural Resource Development General	
565. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	1-202
consequences of allowing developers free reign on public land	1-262
566. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the	1-202
development of federal lands	1-262
To utilize natural resources	
567. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise against designating	1-202
normanant raadlaga araas	1-263
To allow sustainable resource management Timber	
568. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	1-203
	4 000
environmental effects of timber harvest.	1-263
569. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the Forest	
Service to adopt sustainable timber management as its guiding	4 000
philosophy.	1-263
570. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should streamline the appeals	4 000
process for timber sales	1-263
571. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the effects of	4 000
NEPA on salvage harvest	1-263
572. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage selective	4
timber harvest	
Rather than clearcutting	1-263

573. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should discourage subsidization of timber harvest.	1-264
574. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should use NEPA to prohibit timber harvest.	
Mining	
of the NEPA process for mining.	
For mining permit decisions	
For mining effects analyses	
Because it provides for analysis of site-specific conditions	1-265
576. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies with	
jurisdiction over mining operations to cooperate in scoping and preparation of EISs for new mines.	1 265
577. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	1-203
include bonding calculations and justifications in NEPA documents on	
mining projects	1-265
578. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify that agencies	200
cannot selectively use uncertainty to disregard alternatives in mining	
projects	1-266
579. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that NEPA is	00
used by agencies to delay or halt mining operations by lessees	1-266
580. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should examine the report	
"Hardrock Mining on Federal Land" to evaluate environmental effects of	
proposed mineral exploration and mining projects	1-267
Other	1-267
581. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise the prohibition of	
construction near danger zones.	
SOCIOECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS OF PLANNING	
Socioeconomic Considerations General	1-269
582. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should apply NEPA to all	
components of the environment, not just humans.	
Remove the word "human"	1-269
583. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should modify 40 CFR 1508.14 to	
interpret human environment to include the natural and physical	1 260
environment, and the relationship of people with that environment	1-269
use of public lands involves a predator-prey situation	1 260
585. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should include language in NEPA	1-209
to protect private property rights	1-270
586. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage targeted tax	1-270
credits for redevelopment of brownfields	1-270
Socioeconomic Analysis	
Socioeconomic Analysis General	
587. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require analysis of	
socioeconmic effects	1-270
At the local level	
Social Analysis	
588. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require analysis of social	
issues	1-271
Including heritage, tradition, and cultural and historic resources	1-272

589. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should give greater weight to the human, social and cultural elements of analysis than to biological	
elements590. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require analysis of	.1-272
distributional effects	. 1-272
Economic Analysis	. 1-273
591. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require adequate economic analysis.	1-273
592. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure that economic	. 270
indicators are based on cumulative effects	1-273
593. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require economic analyses	. 270
to be prepared by a qualified professional economist.	1-273
594. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require a cost benefit	
analysis in relation to proposed alternatives.	. 1-273
Including future costs and benefits	
595. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	
incorporate ecosystem services into the economic analysis	. 1-275
596. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to justify	
their rejection of alternatives on economic grounds	. 1-276
597. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should fund economic effects	
studies done by local entities	. 1-276
Access	. 1-276
598. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the effect of	
NEPA on access to public lands	. 1-276
Should consider that lengthy NEPA planning denies people access to	
public lands	. 1-276
Should not allow the NEPA process to be used to deny access to and use	
of public lands	
Economy/Employment	.1-2//
599. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the effects of the	4 077
NEPA process on local economies, businesses, and individuals	
Mining companies	
Minerals industry  Farmers and ranchers	
600. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that NEPA	. 1-2//
<del>y</del>	1 270
imposes significant regulatory burdens on the building industry 601. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should discourage laws that allow	. 1-270
abuse by minority interests and that put jobs and companies at risk	1-278
Chapter 2 Technology, Information Management, and Information Security	2-1
USE OF TECHNOLOGY GENERAL	2-1
602. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
use current technologies	
To enhance the NEPA process	
To provide quality data collection and analysis	
To advance environmental protection	2-1
603. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should give lead agencies the	
responsibility for integrating information technology into the NEPA	0.0
process	∠-∠
determine information technology needs	<b>ງ</b> ງ
determine information technology needs	∠-∠

605. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should create a technology	
framework to address specific areas	2-2
Project administration	2-2
Work flow management	2-2
Document/text management	
Geographic Information System management	2-2
Interactive documents	
Comment submission/content analysis	
Record keeping	
Output to various media	
606. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of	
using information technology to enhance the NEPA process	2-3
Bureau of Land Management's technology template	
Florida Department of Transportation Efficient Transportation Decision	
Making and Re: NEPA Community of Practice	2-3
607. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
focus on the result, not the technology used to get there	2-3
SOURCES OF DATA AND BACKGROUND STUDIES	
Sources Used	
General Electronic Sources	
608. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what sources of	
existing data are used to prepare or comment on NEPA analyses	2-5
Internet and intranet	
Project- or agency-established websites	
Web based document management systems	
CD'S and GIS databases	
Resource specific electronic databases	
Hypertext documents	
Media Sources	
609. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what sources of	v
existing data are used to prepare or comment on NEPA analyses	2-6
Print media such as magazines, newspapers, etc	
Satellite media such as Discover, Public TV	
MSNBC desktop alerts, CNN and New York Times e-mail alerts	
Documents/Reports	
610. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what sources of	
existing data are used to prepare or comment on NEPA analyses	
Forest plans	
Previous NEPA project documents	
Other forest-level programmatic documents and white papers	
Forest M and E reports and E-J state demographic reports	
Environmental Information documents and other NEPA documents	
Economics-project work plans, timber sale data, and other income	2 0
sources data	2-6
305 (b) reports and 303 (d) reports	2-0
Annual site environmental reports	
Scientific ecoregional assessments to supplement state data	
Safety assessment documents	
Technical standards, manuals, and handbooks	
Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, and CEQ regulations at 40 CFR 1500-	∠-1
1508	2-7
1000	4-1

Compartment records and local historical records	2-7
Agency scientific reports	2-7
Current literature	2-7
Technical literature	
Published scientific literature	
Peer-reviewed data published in scientific journals and/or presented at conferences	
Recent books on ecosystem management and silviculture	
Surveys/Inventories/Maps/Models/etc	
611. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what sources of	2-0
existing data are used to prepare or comment on NEPA analyses	
Field surveys	
Project- and forest-level survey data	2-8
State wildlife, aquatic, water, air surveys and data	2-8
State and federal agency research and databases such as the US Geological Survey's GAP Land Cover Assessment and the Forest	
Service's Forest Inventory and Assessment	2-8
Field surveys of vegetating community types, cultural resources,	0
wetlands, sensitive wildlife species, vehicular traffic, and sensitive noise	
receptors	2-8
Published source materials such as National Wetland Inventory maps and	
soil surveys	
Visual FX and state natural heritage inventory	2-9
Wetlands inventory	2-9
In-house inventory	
Internally developed wetland inventory and archeological databases	2-9
Transportation atlas	
Predictive models and trend analyses	
USGS topographic maps	
Predictive noise, vibration and air quality modeling	
Geospatial libraries	
Monitoring programs	
Tract data to address potential environmental justice issues	
Agency or Other Public Offices	
612. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what sources of	2 .0
existing data are used to prepare or comment on NEPA analyses	2-10
Public agencies	_
Consultations with federal, state and local agencies	2-10 2-10
Congressional research service	
	2-10
Internal databases and those of the Department of Natural Resources,	
Environmental Protection Agency, Army Corps of Engineers, Fish and	
Wildlife Service, National Parks Service, state and local resource	0.40
agencies, academia, and the public	2-10
Defense Environmental Network and Information Exchange and	
additional federal agencies	
U.S. Census Bureau	
General Accounting Office	2-11
Research centers, universities, and special interest organizations that	
offer scientific or statistical data	2-11
Research data from local experimental forest, research station, and	
researchers	2-11

Wisconsin Department of Transportation Information Management/GIS	
Workbench	
State Fish and Game Department	
Cooperative Fish and Wildlife research units	
Pacific Southwest Experiment Station	
Forest Service library	
Libraries and media	
Former landowner records at the county clerk's office	
Automated Geographic Reference Center	
Agency Specialists	2-12
613. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what sources of	
existing data are used to prepare or comment on NEPA analyses	
Staff expertise and reviews	
State and federal resource professionals	
Local, state, and federal biologists	
Supervisor's Office specialists	
Groups/Organizations	2-12
614. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what sources of	
existing data are used to prepare or comment on NEPA analyses	
Professional organizations	
Non-profit and foundation organizations	
Conservation and sportsmen's oriented groups	
E-mails from environmental groups	
Interested publics	
Affected population	
Expert consultants	
Other	2-13
615. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what sources of	
existing data are used to prepare or comment on NEPA analyses	
Laws and regulations governing the agency's actions	
Regional appeals and litigation compendiums	
Locally generated data	
Applicant-supplied data on the nature and scope of the proposed action	2-13
Probability analyses, such as those related to train-vehicular accidents	
and train derailments	2-14
Vehicular traffic analyses such as rail-to-truck and truck-to-rail freight	
conversions	
NRCS, SHPO	
Government training	
Microfiche	2-14
616. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the type of	
information collected for data and background studies	2-14
Geographic area, size and complexity of operation, type of livestock,	
USFS or BLM permit, riparian versus non-riparian, seasonal versus year	
long usage	2-14
Suggested Criteria/Sources	2-14
617. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should establish information-	
gathering criteria	2-14
With collection needs based on objectives and issues connected to the	
proposal	
Including complete referencing practices	2-14

618. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage on-line access	
to information	2-15
Should encourage agencies to make environmental review documents	- · -
available electronically	
Should provide interactive links to referenced material	2-15
Should provide one location that provides questions from the public and	0.45
the most recent information responses	2-15
Should encourage development of a system through which the public	0.45
could access via the internet all information relevant to a NEPA project	∠-15
Should encourage agencies to provide a web site where scientific literature is summarized	2-16
Should encourage agencies to develop a central public domain on-line	2-10
illustrated environmental glossary that could be key word linked to on-line	
EIS's	2-16
Should require agencies to provide documents and data used to support	2 10
Decision Notices or Record of Decision documents on their websites	2-16
Should require agencies to provide access to NEPA information sources	
619. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the use of	
background data from scientific studies only	2-16
620. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
conduct yearly terrain studies as a form of information gathering	2-17
621. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the use of	
computer modeling	2-17
To provide guidance only	2-17
622. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should avoid overreliance on	
computer modeling technology	2-17
623. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
better utilize guidance documents provided by NEPANet and CEQ	
studies	2-18
624. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
accept their own data for decisionmaking purposes	2-18
625. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	0.40
provide visual representation of current and desired conditions	2-18
626. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should give the public equal	0.40
access to all NEPA analysis data.	∠-18
627. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to implement effective Environmental Management Standards	2 10
To conduct baseline resource studies	
628. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage all states to	2-13
develop GIS inventories	2-19
To facilitate more effective environmental system management	
629. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should balance technological data	2 13
assessment with the need to make decisions in a timely manner	2-19
BARRIERS TO USE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.	
Barriers	
Access	
630. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the barriers to	
use of information technology	2-21
Inoperable websites and unavailable electronic information	
Lack of access to data stored on other servers	
Inaccessible and privileged information	2-22

"Turf" barriers around critical data	2-22
Refusal of U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service biologists to release relevant	
data	
Lack of timely receipt of information	2-22
Lack of access to technology in rural communities	
Usability	2-23
631. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the barriers to	
use of information technology	2-23
User-friendliness of technology	2-23
Dissimilar, non-user friendly administrative review processes	2-23
Lack of web site uniformity	
Changes in web site address	2-23
Lack of a standard technology protocol	2-23
Process complexity and conflict resulting from new technologies	
Lack of interactive mechanisms	
Lack of high-speed data transfer capabilities	2-24
Inability to use GIS to its fullest capacity at the district level	
Compatibility of GIS systems and data formats	
Problems of scale with GIS information	
Inability to use tools on individual PC's	
Lack of software to download documents	
Documents in pdf format	
Training	
632. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the barriers to	
use of information technology.	2-25
Lack of trained personnel	
Lack of knowledge of available sources	
Lack of technology expertise	
Inadequate training on use of available tools	
Inadequate training on use of standard software such as MSWord and	
Excel	2-25
Inadequate number of MIS trained people	
Funding	
633. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the barriers to	
use of information technology.	2-26
Limited financial and staff resources	
Cost to maintain management systems	
Lack of funding for local predictive models	
Quality/Accuracy of Data	
634. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the barriers to	2 20
use of information technology.	2-26
Ensuring data quality and credibility	
Difficulty of verifying accuracy of data	
Outdated information	
Incorrect identification or positioning of resources	
Inconsistent interpretation of research findings	
Inconsistency between agency data layers	
Inadequate website citations	
Variability in sampling methods	
Invalid statistical analysis	
Subjective analysis	
Oudiooniae analiasia	2-41

Citing of data that stakeholders have already corrected on revious EA's	
Bias of information	
False or misleading documents	
Other	2-28
635. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the barriers to	
use of information technology	
Too many sources of information	
Separately developed data in some agencies	
Acceptance of technology by NEPA practitioners	
Contracting mechanisms biased toward duplication of data	
Information security/sensitivity	
Limited comment time	
Lack of human sensitivity and insight	2-29
Politically motivated processes	2-29
Factors Considered in Assessing the Quality of Information	2-29
Quality/Accuracy of Analysis	2-29
636. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what factors are	
considered in assessing the quality of the information	2-29
Adequacy of documentation	2-29
Compliance with minimum quality standards	
Precision, accuracy and source of data	2-29
Degree of quantitative data provided	2-29
Extent to which the data applies to the NEPA document's planning site	2-29
Type of conclusions drawn from quantitative data	2-30
Range of viewpoints the information provides	
Incomplete nature of environmental information	2-30
Lack of justification for new environmental requirements	2-30
Geographic consistency	2-30
Common sense	2-30
Methods/Standards	2-31
637. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what factors are	
considered in assessing the quality of the information	2-31
Source of data	2-31
Methods of data collection	2-31
Mapping and data collection standards	2-31
Whether the data was manipulated	2-31
Whether it was QA/AC performed	2-31
Collection and analysis methods for quantitative and qualitative	
information	2-31
Acessibility of evaluation measures	2-31
Quality indicators of measured data	
Scientific validation	
Validation through a monitoring program	
Tests to evaluate model adequacy	
Objectivity	2-32
638. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what factors are	
considered in assessing the quality of the information	2-32
Use of solid numerical data versus subjective opinion	
Credentials and objectivity of the data collector	2-32
Peer Review/References	2-33

639. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what factors are	
considered in assessing the quality of the information	
Peer review	
References	
Use of scientifically referred journal materials	
Timeliness	2-33
640. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what factors are	
considered in assessing the quality of the information	
Scale and timeliness	
Frequency of updates	
The age of the data and whether it has been updated since first taken	
Other	2-34
641. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what factors are	
considered in assessing the quality of the information	
Tracking of projects	2-34
Natural or human caused effects to the site which would compromise the	
usefulness of the data	
Whether the information would lock up a resource from public use	
Susceptibility to litigation	
Suggestions for Improving Quality	2-35
642. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should include only approved	
information in NEPA analyses	2-35
643. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should create criteria for	0.05
determining the appropriate levels of analysis	
In light of increasing technological capabilities	2-35
644. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies to	0.05
prioritize information acquired from objective sources	2-35
With research results of professional scientists ranked above the field	
observations of private landowners or other individuals who lack training	2-35
and experience	2-33
information to comply with legal standards	2-36
Daubert v. Merrel Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Data Quality Rule, and	2-30
OMB Guidelines	2-36
646. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	2-30
identify analysis sources in an accessible electronic format	2-36
Should include page numbers and citations in electronic NEPA	2-30
documents	2-36
647. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	2-30
electronically link references to past studies and analyses to the text of	
the document.	2-36
To allow readers to verify information and conclusions	
648. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide analysis models	2 00
online for public review	2-37
INTERNAL DATABASES/OTHER SOURCES OF ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION	
Internal Databases	
649. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	2 00
maintain databases of information collected for environmental	
documents	2-38
650. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what internal	00
databases are used	2-38

Both standing and project-specific databases	2-38
Both standing and project-specific databases for every threatened and	
endangered species	
Project-specific databases of projects of interest	
Third-party project specific databases	
Wetlands and archeological resources databases	
Water quality information databases	
Historical air traffic count databases	
Public involvement databases	. 2-39
FAA Environmental Network web site	. 2-39
Noise and air quality models	
FAA Eastern Region environmental information for airports	. 2-40
Trend data	
GIS and GISST	2-40
Historical information on timber sale targets and local economic	
conditions	2-40
Environmental Procedures manual	2-40
Environmental and transportation documentation	2-40
Protocols and Standardization in the Development or Maintenance of Databases	2-41
651. Public Concern: Federal Agencies should standardize data collection	
and storage formats	2-41
By using ISO 14001	2-41
And create more available NEPA project databases for the public	2-41
To provide public access and protection from faulty data	2-41
652. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the difficulties of	
standardizing data and information	2-42
653. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure databases meet	
specific criteria	. 2-42
654. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
share data for a standardized database	. 2-42
To streamline study and data collection processes	2-42
655. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should create standards for	
submitting NEPA information into a shared database	2-43
And provide access to more databases	2-43
656. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should suggest protocols for	
databases which require third party reviews	2-43
657. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
maintain databases available to non-agency personnel	2-43
658. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the extensive	
resources required for keeping databases consolidated and updated	2-43
Because of constant technological and scientific changes	
659. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide a history of	
database updates	2-44
To inform users of revisions	
660. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should create a database of	
approved information	2-44
To use in preparing NEPA documents	
661. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should expand the use of GIS and	• •
other decision support tools.	2-44
To create agency consistency and lessen resource needs	
<u> </u>	

662. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the databases	
that are currently maintained	2-44
The Living Environmental Baseline	2-44
663. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should support greater federal	
funding for baseline data on water resources	2-45
Funding for data on watershed yield, river flow, and groundwater level	
fluctuation	
Funding for the federal gauging network throughout the entire country	2-45
664. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage development of	
a publicly accessible federal database of brownfields	
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT AND RETRIEVAL TOOLS	
Tools Used	2-46
665. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what information	
management and retrieval tools are used	
Internally developed tools	
NEPA management system (NEPAMS)	
Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP)	
Web-based systems	2-47
Internet, with the ability to access PDF files, MS Word, Excel, Access,	
and Oracle	
Google.com	
Websites, except when documents are in PDF format	
Microsoft software	
Microsoft Excel	
SPSS	
ESRI software, specifically ArcGIS and ArcView	
GIS	
Statsgraph Plus, Statistix, and Quattro pro	
Computer generated graphs and charts	
Hard copy documents	
Hard copy materials such as NWI maps and technology reports	
Field experts	
Suggestions/Concerns Regarding Tools	2-49
666. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the creation of	
better information management and retrieval tools	
A centralized system of information	2-49
An electronic reference library	
A standard program for project information and tracking	
Widespread digital databases	2-50
Linking of CEQ's pollution prevention guidance to EPA's pollution	
prevention checklists	2-50
Identification and address of current executive orders applicable to NEPA	
analysis	
Standardized dialogue	
User-friendly tools	2-50
667. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies to track	
numerous specific types of information.	2-51
668. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should maintain administrative	<b>6</b> = :
records for rulemaking processes.	2-51
669. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider concerns with	-
information management tools.	2-51

Timing of information release and comment period	2-51
Adequacy and uniformity of agencies' notification processes	2-51
COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY	2-51
Communication Technology General	2-53
670. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
make all information available to the public	2-53
Through a mechanism easily accessible by the public	
671. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
create standardized websites for posting NEPA information	2-53
672. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
make research available early in the planning process	2-53
673. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should coordinate with agencies	
to develop environmental information systems	2-54
674. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
base use of methods on community needs and the project's effects on	
social, economic, and environmental conditions	2-54
675. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that present use	
of communication technology is out of date.	2-54
676. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that the cost of	
NEPA documents limits public access.	2-54
Preferred Methods of Conveying/Receiving Information	2-55
Electronic	
677. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what the	
preferred methods are for conveying and/or receiving information	2-55
Internet	
Websites	
Password protected websites	
Intranet tools	
CD-Rom	2-55
GISST	
NEPAnet	
NEPAssist	
E-mail	
Computer modeling	
678. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
ensure timely online access to federal plans, reports, research results,	
and other documents	2-56
Should format tables, charts, and other data to allow downloading and	
manipulaton	2-56
679. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
use a standardized format for posting NEPA documents	2-57
In Word, WordPerfect, or Adobe	
680. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage development of	
a website for each state to post all NEPA actions and proposals	2-57
681. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should establish a single web-	
based NEPA document repository	2-57
Through implementation of the e-government initiative	
682. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require that, due to the	
expense of printing EISs with large appendices, background analyses	
should at least be made available on the Internet	2-58
Traditional – Hard Copies/Mail	
	00

683. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what the	
preferred methods are for conveying and/or receiving information	
Traditional methods	
Hard copies	
U.S. Mail	
Schedules of Proposed Actions by mail	
Letters of notification	
Fax	2-58
684. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	
provide hard copy documents upon request	
At no charge	
Media	2-59
685. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what the	
preferred methods are for conveying and/or receiving information	
Newspapers	
Television/radio announcements	
Federal Register	
Newsletters	
Foreign lanuage news articles, interpreters, sign language	
Agency and Other Public Offices	2-60
686. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what the	
preferred methods are for conveying and/or receiving information	
Public libraries and/or agency offices	
Public Meetings/Public Involvement	2-60
687. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what the	0.00
preferred methods are for conveying and/or receiving information	
Public meetings, at accessible sites	
Public workshops	
Citizen advisory panels Stakeholder involvement	
Other	
688. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what the	2-00
preferred methods are for conveying and/or receiving information	2 60
Variety of methods	
Combination of methods	
For EIS filing purposes, five hard copies and a CD-ROM	
Methods through which information is timely and accessible	
Telephone	
689. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide a method for the	2 0
public to request environmental documents in the medium they choose	2-61
Ineffective Methods of Conveying/Receiving Information	
690. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that some	2 02
methods of conveying or receiving information are not effective	2-62
Public meetings	
Libraries	
Newspapers	
Agency websites	
E-mail	
Internet-Based Public Involvement.	
691. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide adequate means	
for the public to submit comment through electronic methods	2-62

692. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2-63
As long as the document being commented on is available in hard copy  With comments posted on the website prior to the end of the comment	2-63
period	2-63
693. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should develop a public input	
module for NEPANet	2-63
To use for dialog and suggestions	2-63
694. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the benefits of	
soliciting public comment through e-mail notification	2-64
695. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	
publish quarterly "Schedule of Proposed Action" reports	
To inform and gather input from the public	2-64
696. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should develop standards for	
electronically submitted comments.	2-64
697. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should develop methods to	
distinguish between computer generated e-mails and substantive e-	
mails	2-64
698. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should prohibit the	
implementation of computer software to review public comment	2-64
699. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not provide web-based	
mediums as the only method for public input	
Because it precludes some from participating	2-65
700. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should create an interagency	
NEPA information technology workgroup	
To review and report on NEPA information technology strategies	2-65
701. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of	0.05
internet-based public involvement	2-65
EPA Region 6's external peer review exercise on the GISST	∠-65
Surface Transportation Board's program  BALANCING PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND INFORMATION SECURITY	
Balancing Public Involvement and Information Security General	2-67
information security risks	2 67
•	
By developing security and confidentiality guidelines  By developing system and access control protocols	2-07 2 67
703. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider several factors in	2-07
implementing information security measures	2-67
704. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should follow Executive Order	2-01
12600	2-68
In determining how to balance public involvement and information	2-00
security	2-68
705. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to train	2 00
employees regarding balancing public involvement and information	
security	2-68
706. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require that agencies	2 00
conduct hearings for actions considered controversial	2-68
General Emphasis on Information Security	
707. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies to give	
greater weight to security than to public involvement	2-68
g. 2 a. 2 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 2	

708. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure that adequate	
policy and security review is enforced	2-69
Given the post September 11, 2001 security standards	2-69
General Emphasis on Public Access	2-69
709. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not limit the public's	
access to information by implementing security measures	2-69
710. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage a liberal NEPA	
policy regarding public access to information.	2-69
And give priority to information security only in the narrowest	00
circumstances	2-69
711. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that increased	2 00
security concerns have diminished the quality of information available to	
the public.	2-70
712. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	2-70
make information available from several different sources	2-70
	2-70
713. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should be aware of situations	2.70
where state law requires release of information.	2-70
714. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not constrain	
dissemination of information to public lands committees or other	0.70
interested parties.	2-70
715. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies not to be	
concerned with information security with respect to public comment	
Treatment of Sensitive Information	2-70
716. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what types of	
information should not be made public	
Sensitive data such as economic and culturally significant information	
Information on tribal historical sites	
Personnel data	2-71
Information that could potentially endanger a project or personnel	2-71
Private citizen information	
Personal identifying information that constitutes an invasion of privacy	2-71
Only information that could jeopardize private citizens or national security	2-71
Information that is legally classified	
Trade secrets and commercial and financial information	
Business plans and related information	
Facility locations relative to receptors	
717. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure the security of	
existing computer data and modeling	2-73
718. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	0
use software that protects electronically posted documents from editing	
by third parties.	2-73
719. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider developing two	2-73
separate versions of NEPA documents	2 72
To separate confidential information from public information	2-73
And provide the volume containing high risk information only to those who	0.74
provide identification	2-74
720. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should give more detail to	o = :
resource management agencies.	2-74
721. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
provide non-government biologists with otherwise sensitive data	_
regarding the location of threatened and endangered species	2-74

treat statutorily protected material the same as classified information	. 2-74
in Department of Energy sites on the electronic availability of both historic and time-sensitive review drafts of public documents	2-74
Chapter 3 Federal and Intergovernmental Collaboration	3-1
·	_
FEDERAL AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL COLLABORATIVE RELATIONSHIPS	
Federal and Intergovernmental Collaborative Relationships General	3-4
intergovernmental collaboration	3_1
In NEPA regulations	
725. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the willingness of	0 ¬
the federal government to collaborate with local governments	3-4
726. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage stronger roles	
for state and local governments	3-5
By giving state and local governments more involvement in the NEPA	
process	
By encouraging local government participation early in NEPA planning	3-5
By encouraging federal agencies to contact local government entities and	
inform them of their role in the collaboration process	
By clarifying cooperation and coordination language in resource statutes	3-6
By requiring an early participation status and consistency review form	
signed by a representative of the local government as part of NEPA documentation	3-6
727. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should allow state and local	3-0
governments to participate in the NEPA process at a level below joint	
lead but above the general public	3-6
728. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage greater state	0
responsibility for administering NEPA processes	3-6
By providing for state certification to administer NEPA programs	
By delegating authority to state environmental agencies for actions on	
environmentally benign projects	3-6
729. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage federal	
agencies to coordinate NEPA planning with state and local	
environmental review requirements.	
To achieve a single multi-agency, state policy decision	3-7
730. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that	
collaborative NEPA processes work best in states with existing environmental impact laws	3-8
731. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should avoid federalizing land use	3-0
decisions	3-8
By allowing federal agencies to adopt state environmental documents as	0 0
NEPA equivalents	3-9
732. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage state specific	
solutions to environmental problems unique to the individual state	3-9
733. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure that collaboration	
between the agency initiating the NEPA process and the county does	
not overly constrain the county's ability to address local issues	.3-10

734. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify mechanisms for	
consultations between American Indian tribes and the federal	2.40
government	3-10
735. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the designation	2.40
of American Indian tribes as the responsible government official.	3-10
736. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should identify the legal	
requirement for government-to-government relations with American	2.40
	3-10
737. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not allow interagency	0.40
cooperation to impede public involvement	
CEQ Regulations	3-11
738. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should amend existing	2 4 4
regulations.	
To encourage greater cooperation with local governments	
To encourage tribal participation	
Multi-Agency Coordination	3-13
739. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	0.40
collaborate on NEPA documents	
To avoid duplication	3-13
740. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should coordinate the time frames	
for NEPA with those for agency planning processes.	3-13
Should require regulating agency accountability with respect to response	
times	3-13
741. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require that all decisions	
be expertise-based and rendered by the agency with recognized	
knowledge and legal authority	3-14
742. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage development of	0.44
a process to address and monitor differences among agencies	
To improve collaboration	3-14
743. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies with	
environmental and regulatory authority to conduct reviews concurrently	
with the agency conducting the NEPA analysis	3-14
744. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should reject the suggestion to	
implement coordinated review	3-14
745. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the U.S. Fish	
and Wildlife Service to participate in interagency collaboration	3-14
NEPA Delegated Review Procedures	3-15
746. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should rewrite delegation review	
procedures to emphasize the goal of consolidating the review process	
when multiple level reviews are required	3-15
747. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify delegation review	
procedures to require federal agencies to include a section regarding	
NEPA compliance and cooperation in cases of multiple actions	3-16
748. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should amend delegation	
agreements to coordinate all reviews at the funding agency NEPA EIS	
level with only one set of public hearings and reviews on a project	
Cooperating Agency Relationships	3-17
749. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	-
extend cooperating agency status to state, local, and tribal governments	
Because state, local, and tribal governments best understand local issues .	
Because they have large stakes in federal activities in their jurisdiction	3-19

Because many local governments already have committees in place to	
work with federal agencies	3-19
Because it will improve the quality of NEPA analysis	3-19
750. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	
explain why a county should not be granted cooperating agency status	3-20
751. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage cooperating	
agencies to be knowledgeable about other agencies and their	
processes	3-20
Lead Agencies and Joint-Lead Relationships	3-20
Lead Agencies	3-20
752. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should define the roles of the lead	0 20
	3-20
agency753. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require lead agencies to	3-20
	2 24
follow all pertinent regulations, policies, procedures, and directives	3-21
754. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agreement on	
which agency will act as the lead reviewer for non-EIS projects requiring	
multiple level reviews	3-21
755. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require the agency with	
direct stewardship and expertise regarding the affected resource to	
assume lead agency status	3-21
756. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not delegate lead agency	
responsibility to a state agency	3-21
757. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize one lead	
agency as the single lead agency for decisionmaking	3-21
758. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not recognize one lead	
agency as the single lead agency for decisionmaking	3-22
Because it removes authority from other agencies that have expertise	3-22
759. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require lead agencies to	0-22
determine what other agencies should be involved and classify them	2.00
according to their role.	3-22
760. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage lead agencies	
to view cooperating agencies as partners	3-22
761. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should designate a lead agency	
to resolve interagency disagreement over scientific interpretation	3-23
762. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should strengthen the	
decisionmaking authority of the lead agency	3-23
Because searching for consensus stalls the process	3-23
763. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should grant the lead agency the	
authority to develop the document and set deadlines for agency input	
and decisions.	3-23
Jointly or in parallel with state processes	
764. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the inability of	0 20
lead agencies to complete the NEPA process	3-23
Joint-Lead Relationships	
765. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage greater use of	3-24
<u> </u>	2.24
joint-lead agency relationships	3-24
Because joint-lead projects facilitate creation of an "economy of scale"	0.0
that will better address broad-level resource concerns	3-24
766. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to inform	
property owners and local governments of the availability of a joint-lead	
relationship	3-24

767. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that the joint-lead	
process is not employed fairly	3-24
CHARACTERISTICS OF EFFECTIVE COLLABORATIVE RELATIONSHIPS	3-24
Characteristics	3-27
General Characteristics	3-27
768. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider characteristics of	
effective collaborative relationships	
Strategic planning	
Parties find the relationship mutually advantageous	
Interest in protecting all resources	
Understanding of decision consequences for other jurisdictions	
Consideration of the public interest	
Flexibility, creativity, and innovation	
Goals/Objectives	3-28
769. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider characteristics of	
effective collaborative relationships	
Understanding and promotion of the big picture	
Recognition of each agency's governmental mandate	
Acceptance of lead agency's mission and purpose	3-28
Similar management objectives	
Mutual agreement regarding strategies and objectives	
Teamwork/Cooperation	3-29
770. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider characteristics of	
effective collaborative relationships	
Teamwork	
Commitment to cooperate	
Consensus regarding the process to resolve issues	3-29
Fair and equitable negotiation forum	
Opportunity for co-creation and joint problem solving	
Agreement from all parties	
Sharing of knowledge, accolades, and outcomes	
Mutual respect for each agency's needs	
Coordination of NEPA actions with local and regional agencies	3-30
Use of working groups composed of members from each cooperating	2 24
agency	3-31
Incorporation of the planning efforts of other agencies	
Coordination of all activities with stakeholders	
Interagency Rules/Regulations/Policies	3-31
	2 24
effective collaborative relationships	
Compliance with other rules and laws	
Understanding of federal and state processes	
Compliance with rules and regulations of the lands in question	3-32
Use of Interagency Agreements and/or Interagency Personnel Agreements	2 22
Acknowledgement of different management policies	
Standard criteria for evaluating proposed projects	
Adequate consultation with American Indian tribes	
Roles/Relationships	
772. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider characteristics of	
effective collaborative relationships	3-33

Clearly defined roles	3-33
Designation of a lead federal agency at project inception	3-33
Designation of an appropriate federal agency staff person to be	
responsible for communication	3-33
Opportunity for participants to develop professional, interpersonal	
relationships	3-33
Equality among participants	
Participation	
773. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider characteristics of	0 0 1
effective collaborative relationships.	3-34
Participation early in the process	
Continued participation throughout the process	
Communication	
774. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider characteristics of	3-34
	2 24
effective collaborative relationships.	
Open communication throughout the process	
Information sharing	
Full disclosure of available scientific information	
Use of a communication protocol for collaboration	
Feedback to input	
Early response to comments or actions	
Public Involvement	3-36
775. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider characteristics of	
effective collaborative relationships.	
Adequate public involvement opportunities	3-36
Fair representation of all interests who have a stake in the decision	3-36
Early engagement of stakeholders and sources of expertise	3-36
Interagency consultations prior to public involvement in order to facilitate	
meaningful public comment	3-36
Trust	3-36
776. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider characteristics of	
effective collaborative relationships.	3-36
Trust between agencies	
Honesty	
Lack of hidden agendas	
Confidence that collaborative efforts will yield projects	3-37
Personnel/Education	
777. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider characteristics of	0 01
effective collaborative relationships.	3-37
Adequate resources and trained staff	
Educated and available leadership	
Employee sharingAgency rotation of facilitators and note-takers	ט-ט <i>ו</i> ססס
Designation of a representative from each agency	చ-చర
Agency representatives are personable and have experience as both a	0.00
team player and a resource manager	చ-చర
Agency personnel work collaboratively with counterparts in other	0.00
agencies	
Third party facilitator	
Timeframes	3-38

778. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider characteristics of	
effective collaborative relationships	
Timely processes	
Coordination of timetables and meetings	3-39
Establishment of a reasonable schedule for internal document review	
agreed on in the beginning	3-39
Meetings	
779. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider characteristics of	
effective collaborative relationships	3-39
Pre-project meetings to clarify objectives, methods, terms, and	
requirements	
Regularly scheduled meetings	
Quarterly meetings	3-39
Convenient meeting places	
Funding	3-39
780. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider characteristics of	
effective collaborative relationships	3-39
Adequate funding	3-39
Reimbursement to state agencies for preparation of federal NEPA	
documents	3-40
Funding for county contributions	3-40
Technology and Information Management	3-40
781. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider characteristics of	
effective collaborative relationships	3-40
Use of good information technology tools	3-40
Uniform policy regarding data management	
Common data sets for each agency's jurisdiction	3-40
Standard protocols for gathering and managing interagency data	
Examples of Effective Collaborative Relationships	3-41
782. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of	
effective collaborative relationships	3-41
Division of Natural Resources and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service joint	
NEPA/SEPA EIS	3-41
Federal Highway Administration's Red Book	3-41
Navy and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries	
Service Cooperation for the Shock Trial of the USS Winston S. Churchill	3-41
Burned Area Emergency Rehabilitation Partnership	3-41
Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management on Endangered Species	
Act consultation documents in central Idaho	3-42
Custer County, Idaho, Environmental Stewardship Program and Model	
Watersheds Project	3-42
Idaho High Level Waste and Facilities Disposition EIS	3-42
Power Up Wisconsin	3-42
Washington State's Environmental Permit Streamlining Act	3-43
Signatory Agency Committee Agreement to Integrate Aquatic Resources	
Permit Requirements into the NEPA and State Environmental Policy Act	
Processes in the State of Washington	3-43
Oregon Department of Transportation LUTRAQ Plan	
Western Governors' Enlibra Principles for Environmental Management	
Grays Harbor Lateral project	
Green River Basin Advisory Committee	

Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan EIS	3-44
BARRIERS TO EFFECTIVE COLLABORATIVE RELATIONSHIPS	
Barriers	3-49
General Characteristics	3-49
783. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	3-49
Lack of understanding and leadership	3-49
Lack of understanding of agency-specific factors and concerns	
Lack of understanding of communities' relationships with natural	
resources on public lands	3-50
Lack of successful collaboration models	
Inability to be objective	3-50
Refusal to try something new	
Pessimism	
Inappropriate application of collaborative processes	
Goals/Objectives	
784. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	3-50
Conflicting agency goals and missions	
Single focus on own agency mission	
View of agency's mission as adversarial to project	
Differences in agency objectives and application of environmental laws	
Predecisional intent to pursue a preferred alternative	
Commitment to Collaborative Process	
785. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	3-52
Lack of commitment to the collaborative process	
Lack of agency commitment to planning direction	3-52
Lack of federal agency interest	3-53
Lack of incentive for agencies to use collaborative processes	3-53
Failure to make NEPA a priority	
Teamwork/Cooperation	3-53
786. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	3-53
Failure to cooperate	3-53
Failure to share information	3-54
Failure to coordinate information and actions	3-54
Failure to establish or abide by concurrence points	3-54
Lack or unacceptance of a good conflict resolution process	
Lack of protocols for joint fact-finding	3-54
Failure to accommodate the needs of other agencies	3-55
Roles/Relationships	3-55
787. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	
Overlapping of jurisdictional responsibilities	
Lack of agreed upon roles and responsibilities	
Agency differences in decision authority	
Lack of decisionmaking authority	
Failure to make binding decisions	
Special Interests precluding lead agency authority	
Misinterpretation on the part of the public of cooperating agency roles	3-56

Inter-/Intra-Agency Conflict	3-56
788. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	3-56
Intergovernmental rivalries	
Inter- and intra-agency conflicts	
Intergovernmental collaboration requirements that conflict with existing	
state processes	3-56
Failure of regional branches of federal agencies to comply with guidance	
from Washington, D.C	3-57
Disagreement on standards and methods of effects analyses	
Disagreement on the adequacy of proposed environmental mitigation	
Single-Agency Dominance	
789. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	3-57
Reluctance of agencies to share control	
Insistence of the federal agency to maintain complete control of the	
process	3-58
Single agency document preparation	
Participation	
790. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	3-58
Lack of full participation from cooperating agencies	
Limited capacity of local governments to participate	
Refusal to become a cooperating agency due to negative effects on	
public involvement	3-59
Refusal to accept cooperating agency status	3-59
Laws/Acts/Agency Policies and Regulations	
791. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	3-60
Lack of congressional guidance	
Federal Advisory Committee Act	3-60
Freedom of Information Act and Sunshine Laws	3-61
Lack of specific intergovernmental consultation requirements	3-62
Disregard for regulations requiring local government cooperation	3-62
Different Regulatory Interpretations	3-63
792. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	
Too many players and interpretations	
Divergent philosophies with little incentive to reach agreement	
Differences in agency NEPA regulations and interpretation	
Differences in regional interpretation of requirements	
Lack of understanding regarding the flexibility of regulations	
Lack of consistency in NEPA implementation	
Lack of consistency in local planning	3-64
Lack of agency understanding regarding the roles and effects of NEPA	
analysis	
Communication	3-64
793. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	
Communication barriers	3-64

Failure of consulting agencies to make their views known early in the	
process	
Inadequate review of interagency comments	3-65
Public Involvement	
794. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	3-65
Lack of participation in the scoping process	
Lack of continued interaction by all stakeholders to address concerns	
Disregard for comment periods	
Fatigue of participants due to excessive public involvement and	
collaboration	3-65
Analysis/Documentation Demands	3-66
795. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	3-66
Volume of environmental documents	
Duplication of state and federal analysis requirements	
Continuous requests for new information and analysis	
Absence of required limitations on additional studies	
Over-emphasis on NEPA documentation and litigation protection	
Requirement to document alternatives to proposed action in an EIS or EA	
prior to a decision	3-66
Inability of federal agencies to provide guidance on incorporating state or	
local requirements into joint documents	3-66
Trust	
796. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	3-67
Lack of trust	
Decisions based on politics rather than science	
Egos and hidden agendas	
Agency bias regarding projects	
Personnel/Education	
797. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	0 00
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	3-68
Lack of sufficient staff	
Personnel changes	
Poor attitudes of agency employees	3-68
Lack of education on current scientific developments	
Inadequate support from agency management to resolve issues	
Timeframes	
798. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	0 00
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	3-69
Unrealistic timeframes	3-69
Failure of all agencies to agree on timelines	
Failure to subscribe to project schedules	
Inordinate time spent on strategic planning	
Length of internal review of environmental documents	
Late identification of problems and disputes	
Response timelines	
Failure to comment within timeframes	J-7 U 7_7∩
Funding	
1 WINDER	🔾 , U

799. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	3-70
Limited resources	
Technology and Information Management	
800. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the	
barriers/challenges to effective collaborative relationships	3-71
Differences in technological capabilities	3-71
Differences in computer capabilities	
Failure to use agreed upon environmental/ecological data	3-71
Examples of Ineffective Collaborative Relationships	
801. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of	
ineffective collaborative relationships	3-71
National Marine Fisheries Service	3-71
Department of Natural Resources and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	3-72
Forest Service and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	3-72
Warm Creek/Clearwater Creek Hydroelectric Project and Grays Harbor	
Lateral Project	3-72
Animas-Laplata Project	3-72
Coordinated Activity Plan Draft EIS of 2000	
Catron County Case Study	
Fund for Animals versus Jamie Clark	
Training Emphasis	
Training General	3-75
802. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage training for	
agency personnel	
Training for cooperating agencies unfamiliar with NEPA	
Joint agency training for large projects	3-75
803. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage training in	
certain areas to facilitate effective collaborative relationships	
NEPA as a Framework for collaborative planning	
The concept of joint-lead and cooperating agency status	
Examples of successful collaborative NEPA processes	3-76
804. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should emphasize experience	2.70
over training for skill development.	
Training in Team Building and Cooperation	3-76
805. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage training in team	2.76
building and cooperation to facilitate effective collaborative relationships  An understanding of common objectives	
Communication	
Communication skills: negotiation, alternative dispute resolution, and	3-70
facilitationfacilitation	3_77
Team-oriented problem solving	
Organizational changes to facilitate problem solving	
Defining and supporting joint successes	
Training in Leadership and Roles	
806. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage training in	11
leadership and roles to facilitate effective collaborative relationships	3-77
Leadership and project management skills	
Group coordination, facilitation, and project management expertise	
The concept of "effective government"	

Mustical constant the state of the article attention of the state of t	
Mutual understanding of participating agency roles, responsibilities, and decision-making processes	3-78
Mutual understanding of important terms, historic bottleneck issues, and expectations of partner agencies	
807. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage training in the importance of public involvement to facilitate effective collaborative	3-78
relationships	3-78
An understanding that the people most affected can make the best	
decision	3-78
Training in Other Areas	
808. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage training in	
certain areas to facilitate effective collaborative relationships.	3-78
Recent laws and regulations	
The use of scientific methodology in effects analysis	
Situation assessments and diagnostic skills	
Memorandum of Understanding templates	
BEST PRACTICES FOR INTERGOVERNMENTAL COLLABORATION	3-79
809. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should review best practices for	
intergovernmental collaboration	
Memorandum of Understanding between the Army and Forest Service	3-79
"Factors for Determining Whether to Invite, Decline or End Cooperating	
Agency Status"	3-80
National Forest County Partnership Restoration programs	3-80
Healthy Rangeland Standards and Guidelines	
Environmental Assessment for the Establishment of a Horseshoe Crab	
Sanctuary off the mouth of the Delaware Bay	3-80
Magnuson-Stevens Act Requirements Regarding Essential Fish Habitat	
·	5-62 <b>4-1</b>
Chapter 4 Programmatic Analysis and Tiering	4-1
PROGRAMMATIC ANALYSIS AND TIERING GENERAL	4-1
010 Dublic Concern. The CEO Took Ferres should continue to require NEDA	
8 TO, Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should continue to require NEPA	
810. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should continue to require NEPA analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-1
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-1
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-1
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-2
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-2
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-2 4-2
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-2
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-2 4-2 4-2
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-2 4-2
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-2 4-2 4-2
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-2 4-2 4-2
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-2 4-2 4-2
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-2 4-2 4-2 4-2
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-2 4-2 4-2
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-2 4-2 4-2 4-2 4-2
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-2 4-2 4-2 4-2
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-2 4-2 4-2 4-2 4-2
analysis at both programmatic and project levels	4-2 4-2 4-2 4-2 4-2

815. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise against	
programmatic review and tiering when uses and actions must be	
balanced at the onset of the planning process	4-3
PROGRAMMATIC ANALYSIS	4-3
Programmatic Analysis General	4-5
816. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide additional	
guidance on the appropriate use of programmatic NEPA analysis	4-5
To reduce misapplication	
817. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage programmatic	
analysis	4-6
If there is an adequate adaptive management process in place to offset	
the need for further environmental review	4-6
If one document can reduce or clarify subsequent documents	4-6
818. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure that the	
programmatic EIS is the foundation for any decision made within a	
program	4-6
819. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that a good	
programmatic analysis is one that is sensitive to the issues	4-6
820. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the need for	0
programmatic analysis across federal agencies	4-7
Bio-threat reduction programs	4-7
821. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should establish consistent	
guidelines to determine the level of detail needed for a programmatic	
analysis	4-7
822. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	,
provide adequate documentation at the programmatic level to support a	
broad array of possible alternatives to satisfy the purpose and need	4-7
823. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require a maximum of one	,
layer of programmatic analysis above the project level.	4-7
824. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the use of a	+ 1
programmatic checklist	4-8
825. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure that programmatic	+ 0
documents are considered timely for a significant period of time after	
completion.	4-8
To allow for tiering with project level NEPA activity	
826. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the appropriate	+ 0
use of programmatic EISs and EAs	4-8
827. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should eliminate the	+-0
programmatic EIS.	1-0
828. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure programmatic	4-3
analysis does not supplant formal rulemaking	<b>1</b> _Ω
Issues Best Addressed by Programmatic Analysis	
General Planning Objectives	
829. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what issues are	4-9
	4.0
best addressed by programmatic analysis.	4-9
Forest-wide standards and guidelinesVision, goals, and objectives	
Shifts in general policy	
Long-term planning	
"Big picture" issues such as "why are we doing this in the first place?"	
Broadly Defined Actions/Issues	4-10

830. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what issues are	
best addressed by programmatic analysis	4-10
Broadly defined actions	4-10
Federal actions subject to judicial review	4-10
Recurring land management actions	4-10
Classes of action in which the effects stay the same from project to	
project	4-11
Cumulative effect issues that transcend the project	
Large-scale problems	4-11
Broad-based analyses to which individual projects will be tiered	4-11
Scientific "paradigm shifts"	
Broadly Applied Actions	4-11
831. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what issues are	4 4 4
best addressed by programmatic analysis.	
Activities that occur over a wide area	
Projects replicated on different planning units	4-12
Large ocean areas  Land Allocations	
832. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what issues are	4-12
best addressed by programmatic analysis	1-12
Land allocations	
"Zoning" type decisions	
Wilderness area recommendations	
General Land Management	
833. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what issues are	+-10
best addressed by programmatic analysis	4-13
Processes for adaptive management and monitoring	
Transportation planning	
Realty actions	
Socioeconomic analysis	
Natural Resource Management	
834. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what issues are	
best addressed by programmatic analysis	4-13
Landscape issues	
Protection of remnant habitat types	
New species designation	
Air, soil, and water quality	4-13
Vegetation managenent	4-14
Exotic plant management	4-14
Chemical treatment plans	
Fuel reduction plans	4-14
Natural Resource/Commodity Development	4-14
835. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what issues are	
best addressed by programmatic analysis	4-14
Categorical exclusions for fuel reduction and/or timber harvest	
Oil and gas leasing (not exploration)	
Mineral exploration	
Grazing	
Other	4-15
836. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what issues are	
best addressed by programmatic analysis	4-15

Response to immediate change necessitated by changing laws	4-15
Cartographic presentations	4-15
A variety of uses and issues	4-15
Issues Not Best Addressed by Programmatic Analysis	4-16
837. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what issues are	
not best addressed by programmatic analysis	4-16
Site-specific issues	
Actions that will involve a substantial "on-the-ground" component	
Route designation processes	4-16
Pre-decisional planning or analysis of broad geographical areas	
Second tier analysis	4-17
Forest plans	4-17
TIERING	4-17
Tiering General	4-18
838. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide guidance on methodologies for a tiered NEPA process	
839. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage the use of	
tiering	4-18
To reduce redundancy	
To reduce and improve NEPA documentation	
To verify the appropriateness and accuracy of the programmatic analysis	
Because programmatic analysis cannot address all the issues related to	
site-specific implementation; is expensive and time intensive to produce;	
and lessens public trust	4-19
Because programmatic analysis routinely disregards economic effects	
840. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that successful	
tiering is contingent upon timely development and implementation	4-19
841. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that tiered	
analysis often uses the programmatic analysis as a stamp of approval	
for projects which actually merit further analysis	4-19
842. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should limit site-specific analysis	
to a consistency check with programmatic plans	4-20
843. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not allow agencies to tier	
one EA to another EA.	4-20
844. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should allow agencies to tier one	
EA to another EA	4-20
For projects of similar type	
845. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address second-level	
planning	4-21
Issues Best Addressed in Tiering	4-21
846. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what issues are	
best addressed in tiering.	4-21
Policy and programmatic management decisions	4-21
New issues or site-specific issues not already reviewed at higher tiers	
Transportation system analysis	4-21
METHODS TO AVOID DUPLICATION IN PROGRAMMATIC AND TIERED ANALYSIS	
847. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider methods to avoid	
duplication in programmatic and tiered analysis	4-22
Direct most effort to EIS analysis and apply project-level NEPA only to	
emerging issues and effects not previously discussed	4-22

Ensure that project analysis does not repeat analysis from the	
programmatic document	4-23
Require that EAs not be stand-alone documents or repeat analysis from	
the programmatic NEPA document	
Reference the EIS in subsequent tiered documents	4-23
Limit the scope of site-specific analysis	4-24
Revamp timber sale programs to focus on true watershed restoration	4-24
Encourage interagency coordination	
848. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that tiering can	
fine-tune the analysis to smaller scales without duplication	4-24
849. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that the prospect	
of reducing or eliminating redundant and duplicative analysis is not	
promising	4-25
Because of the inherent difference between ecosystem and site-specific	
analysis	4-25
EXAMPLES OF PROGRAMMATIC ANALYSIS	4-25
Examples of Programmatic Analysis General	
850. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of	20
programmatic analysis	4-26
Department of Energy	
Department of Natural Resources Forest Practice Rules	
National Marine Fishery Service	
USDA Forest Service	
USDA APHIS	
Eglin Air Force Base	
Sheppard Air Force Base	
Army Transformation Program	
Federal Coal Management Program	
National Spatial Data Infrastructure	
Washington Department of Transportation	
New York/New Jersey Comprehensive Port Improvement Plan	
Land Use Transportation Air Quality Project, Portland 2040, and Envision	4-20
Utah	4 20
Categorical exclusions	
Examples of Analysis Used to Develop, Maintain, and Strengthen Environmental	4-29
	4 20
Management Systems	4-29
851. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	4 20
use environmental management systems	
To improve implementation of NEPA decisions	4-29
852. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider features of	4.00
effective environmental management systems.	4-29
Baseline inventory, analysis of trends, and continual avoidance or	4.00
mitigation of adverse effects	4-29
853. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of	
good programmatic analysis used to develop, maintain, and strengthen	4.00
environmental management systems.	
Department of Energy	
U.S. Navy	
New York State Department of Transportation's Environmental Initiative	4-30
Examples of Existing Environmental Management Systems Facilitating and	
Strengthening NEPA Analysis	4-31

	854. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of	
	existing environmental management systems facilitating and	
	strengthening NEPA analysis	4-31
	Department of Defense PEIS for Transformation	4-31
	Department of the Navy	4-31
	Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory	4-31
	Washington Department of Transportation	4-32
	855. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should adopt ISO 14001 as the	
	national standard for all NEPA work	4-32
	856. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not adopt ISO 14001 as	
	the national standard for all NEPA work	
Barrier	RS TO EFFECTIVE USE OF PROGRAMMATIC ANALYSIS	4-32
	857. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address barriers to	
	effective use of program analysis	
	Lack of adequate cumulative effects analysis	
	Deferral of significant effects analysis until late in the process	
	Information burden	4-34
	Changing information and site-specific circumstances, and/or outdated	
	programmatic analyses	4-34
	Uncertainty of future actions and conditions	
	Legal rulings	
	Susceptibility to litigation	4-35
	Individual agency's Interpretation	
	Endangered Species Act Section Seven requirements	4-36
Chapter 5	Adaptive Management, Monitoring, and Evaluation Plans	<b>5-</b> 1
ADAPTIV	/E MANAGEMENT AND MONITORING GENERAL	5-1
/ LD/ II IIV	858. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should establish agency guidance	0
	regarding the use of adaptive management.	5-2
	Through appropriate application of the Precautionary Principle	
	Through the Multiple Use and Sustained Yield Act	
	859. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify the meaning and	
	applicability of adaptive management.	5-3
	To facilitate public review of NEPA documents	
	Because adaptive management can mean many things	
	860. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
	use adaptive management as part of NEPA	5-3
	To lessen analytical requirements	
	To address risks and project effects	
	To ameliorate risk and environmental damage	
	To facilitate management when uncertainty exists	
	To manage projects that are in the public interest and that are	
	To manage projects that are in the public interest and that are economically justified	5-5
	economically justified	5-5
	economically justified  To improve predictive ability of resource responses across management	
	economically justified  To improve predictive ability of resource responses across management actions	5-5
	economically justified  To improve predictive ability of resource responses across management	5-5 5-5
	economically justified  To improve predictive ability of resource responses across management actions  To allow actions to proceed while gathering new information  To authorize actions only if they are unlikely to threaten the environment	5-5 5-5
	economically justified	5-5 5-5
	economically justified  To improve predictive ability of resource responses across management actions  To allow actions to proceed while gathering new information  To authorize actions only if they are unlikely to threaten the environment	5-5 5-5 5-6
	economically justified	5-5 5-5 5-6

Because adaptive management is unnecessary and is in conflict with	
NEPA principles and procedures	5-6
Because adaptive management is inconsistent with federal trust	
responsibility to protect tribal rights and interests	5-6
Because adaptive management violates NEPA mandates to identify	
environmental effects	5-7
Because use of adaptive management will obviate the need for public	
review under NEPA	5-7
Because CEQ regulations already provide methods for dealing with	0 7
uncertain outcomes	5-8
Because adaptive management is not in CEQ regulations	
862. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider the book	5-0
	<i>E</i> 0
Compass and Gyroscopes.	5-0
FACTORS TO CONSIDER IN RELATION TO ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT	
Factors to Consider	
General Factors	5-11
863. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should establish a formal process	
to evaluate the potential effectiveness of adaptive management	
programs	
Before subjecting a program to analysis through an EIS	5-11
By comparing EIS effects analysis forecasts with actual post-project	
effects	5-11
864. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure that adaptive	
management techniques are specific	5-11
So that project proponents fully understand the expectations at the time a	
permit is issued	5-11
865. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies to notify	
the public of processes and changes	5-11
866. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force and NEPA should advise	
agencies not to use adaptive management to sidestep NEPA analysis	5-12
867. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies not to use	
adaptive management as a substitute for taking affirmative steps to	
protect natural resources and species	5-12
868. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies not to use	5-12
adaptive management to defer decisions	5-13
Planning	5-13 5-13
869. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider factors in relation	5-13
	E 10
to the use of adaptive management	
Administrative and regulatory support	
Guidelines for decisionmaking	
Definitions of management objectives and outcomes	
Willingness to achieve goals	
Time/Cost/Staffing Needs	5-14
870. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider factors in relation	
to the use of adaptive management	
Time, cost, and staffing needs	
Cost effectiveness	
Funding	5-14
Data/Analysis	
871. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider factors in relation	
to the use of adaptive management	5-14

Baseline data	5-14
Research	5-14
Application of science-based experimentation	5-14
Number of tested hypotheses	
Predictive validity	5-15
Risk/Uncertainty	
872. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider factors in relation	
to the use of adaptive management	5-15
Level of risk	
Environmental risks and objectives	
Degree of uncertainty	
Effects	
873. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider factors in relation	
to the use of adaptive management	5-16
Understanding of potential project effects	
Social and economic effects	
Magnitude of consequences	
Time lag between cause and effect	
Measurable benefits	
Performance parameters	
Resources	
874. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider factors in relation	
to the use of adaptive management.	5-16
Variability of affected resources	
Current and desired conditions for each managed resource	
Changed resource conditions	
Resources of regional and national significance	
Sensitive species and habitat types	
Monitoring/Mitigation	
875. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider factors in relation	0 17
to the use of adaptive management.	5-17
Effectiveness of monitoring	
Effectiveness of past monitoring strategies and actions	
Mitigation methods	5-17
Enforceability	
876. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider factors in relation	5-10
	E 10
to the use of adaptive management.	
Enforcement mechanisms	
Project Features	5-10
	E 40
to the use of adaptive management	
Project duration	
Type, frequency, and scale of disturbance	
Level of human manipulation and operational changes	
Reversibility of the action	
New Issues	5-18
878. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider factors in relation	<b>-</b> 40
to the use of adaptive management.	
New issues	
New circumstances and methods	5-18
LAUDUG MANAGUNANAN	<b>~</b> ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

879. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider factors in relation	
to the use of adaptive management	5-19
Stakeholder views	
Public safety and public perception	5-19
Differences of opinion	5-19
Local knowedge and practices	5-19
Information distribution	5-19
Other	5-19
880. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider factors in relation	
to the use of adaptive management	5-19
Augmentation of explored changes into the "certain" major federal action	5-19
Flexibility	5-19
BARRIERS TO INTEGRATING ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT	
Barriers to Implementation	5-22
Planning/Regulatory	
881. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider barriers to	
integrating adaptive management	5-22
Regulatory limitations	
Status of NEPA as a procedural statute	
Lack of consistent application of adaptive management	
Lack of established standards and guidelines for implementation	
Lack of integration of adaptive management principles at the project	
planning level	5-22
Funding	
882. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider barriers to	
integrating adaptive management	5-23
Lack of adequte long-term funding	
Data/Analysis	
883. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider barriers to	
integrating adaptive management	5-23
Lack of scientific data	
NEPA thresholds for complete information	
Lack of a process for incorporating the use of outside research	
Effects	5-24
884. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider barriers to	
integrating adaptive management	5-24
Imposition on the agency to demonstrate outcomes	
Practical difficulty in detecting and correcting effects	
Interactive effects across spatial and temporal scales	
Threat to American Indians' natural and cultural resources	
Monitoring/Mitigation	
885. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider barriers to	
integrating adaptive management	5-25
Lack of appropriate monitoring systems and proper implementation	
Lack of on-site monitoring	
Lack of monitoring and mitigation completion	
Enforceability	
886. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider barriers to	0
integrating adaptive management	5-26
Lack of enforceability	
Public Views/Involvement	

887. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider barriers to	
integrating adaptive management	5-26
Lack of meaningful and convenient public involvement	5-26
Public concern over biased decisionmaking	
Inappropriate use of work groups	5-27
Other	5-27
888. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider barriers to	
integrating adaptive management	5-27
Variance of scale and location between adaptive management and	
original NEPA action	
Time spent answering litigation	
Lack of a dispute resolution process	
METHODS TO STRUCTURE NEPA ANALYSIS TO CONSIDER ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT	
Methods to Structure NEPA Analysis	5-30
Identify Goals/Desired Outcomes	5-30
889. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider methods for	
structuring NEPA analysis to consider adaptive management	
Establish goals and boundaries	
Specify project outcomes	
Identify Responsibility	5-30
890. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider methods for	
structuring NEPA analysis to consider adaptive management	5-30
Identify the parties responsible for funding the monitoring and for carrying	
out future mitigation	
Identify Costs	5-32
891. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider methods for	
structuring NEPA analysis to consider adaptive management	
Examine monitoring costs	
Prevent subsequent impositions and costs	
Identify Implementation Measures	5-32
892. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider methods for	
structuring NEPA analysis to consider adaptive management	
Include quantifiable management measures	
Identify performance-based environmental parameters	
Associate management options with trigger events	5-33
Allow achievement of outcomes through a mix of project actions and	
programmatic contributions	
Provide template models, but tailor plan to specific site	
Allow flexibility	5-34
Identify Monitoring/Mitigation/etc.	5-34
893. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider methods for	5.04
structuring NEPA analysis to consider adaptive management	
Establish commitments relative to monitoring and mitigation	
Identify Subsequent Review/Measures	5-34
	<b>5</b> 04
structuring NEPA analysis to consider adaptive management	၁-34
Define how adaptive management will be assessed and adapted for	E 04
ensuing years Establish a sunset clause for unforeseen outcomes	
Address Environmental Concerns/Effects	5-35 5-35

895. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider methods for	
structuring NEPA analysis to consider adaptive management	
Emphasize environmental protection	5-35
Predict environmental risks of future actions	
Evaluate a range of effects under each alternative	
Disclose effects associated with a range of adaptive management options.	5-35
Evaluate the effects of monitoring and mitigation plans	
Establish an environmental oversight and reporting period	
Other	5-39
896. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider methods for	
structuring NEPA analysis to consider adaptive management	5-39
Provide history of like projects elsewhere, and of other projects in project	
location	
Provide finality of decisions	
Maintain valid existing rights	
Examples	5-40
897. Public Concern: CEQ should adopt the Environmental Protection	
Agency's technique for ecological risk assessment and decisionmaking	
as the standard framework for NEPA analysis	5-40
898. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of	
effective structuring of NEPA analysis to consider adaptive	<b>-</b> 40
management.	
EIS for the Washington State Forest Practices Rules	
ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT AND SUBSEQUENT NEPA ANALYSIS	
Adaptive Management and Subsequent NEPA Analysis	
Adaptive Management and Subsequent NEPA Analysis General	5-43
899. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force and NEPA should allow for	
adjustments in adaptive management without requiring additional NEPA	<b>5</b> 40
review900. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force and NEPA should avoid any	5-43
approaches that call for reopening a completed NEPA process	E 12
Planning	
901. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider when subsequent	5-43
NEPA analysis is needed	5-43
When the rules change	
When the adaptive management process is discontinued	
When adaptive management objectives do not comply with the current	5-4-
planplan adaptive management objectives do not comply with the current	5-44
902. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider when subsequent	0 11
NEPA analysis is not needed	5-44
When decisionmaking is consistent with programmatic analysis	5-44
When initial decisions are appropriate	5-44
Analysis	
903. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider when subsequent	
NEPA analysis is needed.	5-44
When there is any reanalysis of the situation	
When there are errors in the effects analysis	
New Information	
904. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider when subsequent	•
NEPA analysis is needed.	5-44
When there is significant new information	
-	

Indications for Change	5-45
905. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider when subsequent	
NEPA analysis is needed	5-45
When monitoring indicates that changes must be made to the decision	5-45
Whenever corrective measures are needed	
When the same indications are present that triggered the NEPA analysis	
in the first place	5-46
When adaptive management is triggered	
When the process doesn't work as predicted	
906. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider when subsequent	5-40
	E 16
NEPA analysis is not needed.	5-46
When monitoring results are consistent and compatible with anticipated	F 40
guidelines	
Unforeseen Consequences/Disturbances	5-47
907. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider when subsequent	
NEPA analysis is needed	
When unexpected consequences occur	
When an unpredicted disturbance occurs	
When there are natural occurrences such as fire	5-47
New Actions	5-47
908. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider when subsequent	
NEPA analysis is needed	5-47
When new management actions are considered which were not identified	
in the original analysis	5-47
When a new proposal consititutes a "major federal action significantly	
affecting the quality of the human environment"	5-47
Monitoring Techniques/Activities	
Monitoring Techniques/Activities General	
909. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should establish an effective	5-52
	E E 2
monitoring and evaluation program for adaptive management	
To collect data using standard scientific methods and statistical analysis	
To collect quality baseline data	
To collect and analyze trend data over time	
To determine whether program objectives are being met	
To allow feedback from prior actions to inform future decisions	5-54
To establish a basis for appropriate mitigation	
To emphasize recreation and net public benefits	5-55
910. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider monitoring to be	
the top priority for funding and staffing.	5-55
911. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide guidance on	
coordinating the development of monitoring and mitigation processes	5-55
Through intergovernmental collaborative processes	
912. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that monitoring	00
and mitigation measures are central to most records of decisions	5-56
913. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should direct federal agencies to	5-50
	E EC
adopt existing state monitoring programs	ა-ახ
914. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should link monitoring to a Global	<u>-</u> -
2020 report	5-56
915. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider that adaptive	
management will encourage excessive monitoring	
Factors Considered in Determining Monitoring Techniques	5-56

Laws/Regulations	5-56
916. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider various factors in	
determining monitoring techniques	5-56
Legal and regulatory requirements	
Planning	
917. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider various factors in	
determining monitoring techniques	5-57
Agency commitment and risk of controversial conditions	
Availability of assistance and planning flexibility for industry	
Response time to act on monitoring results	
Staff/Costs	
918. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider various factors in	
determining monitoring techniques.	5-58
Staff knowledge and skills	
Number of individuals needed for each monitoring round	
Cost	
Use of cost efficient and practical methods	
919. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not consider cost a factor	0 00
in determining monitoring techniques.	5-50
Data/Analysis	
920. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider various factors in	5-55
determining monitoring techniques	5-50
Adequate data	
Sound scientific techniques	
Use of extensive broad-scale analysis	
Measurability	
921. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider various factors in	5-60
determining monitoring techniques	5 60
Measurability of management objectives and thresholds	
Focus on measurable target outcomes within project scope	
Resources	
922. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider various factors in	5-61
	E 61
determining monitoring techniques	
Terrain conditions for each monitoring period	
Evaluation of target and non-target resources	
Location and condition of specific resources	
Scale	
Monitoring	5-6∠
923. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider various factors in	<b>-</b> 00
determining monitoring techniques.	
Observability of monitoring parameters	
Equipment needed for monitoring	
Ability to balance conceptual modeling with field monitoring	5-62
Focus on monitoring key relationships that have a large bearing on the	- 00
decision	
Project Success	5-63
924. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider various factors in	
determining monitoring techniques.	
Accuracy of projections	
Achievement of desired future conditions	5-63

Length of successful implementation	5-63
Risks and Uncertainty	5-63
925. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider various factors in	
determining monitoring techniques	5-63
Unpredictability of outcomes	
Identification of key risks and uncertainties	
The risk of irreversible effects	
The environmental risk of infrequent monitoring	
Prevention of unforeseen consequences	
Effects	
926. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider various factors in	0-
determining monitoring techniques	5-64
Disclosure of effects	
Focus on the net effects of disturbance rather than arbitrary limits	
Public Involvement/Collaboration	
927. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider various factors in	5-65
	E GE
determining monitoring techniques	
Use of work groups	
Collaboration between scientists and interested parties in monitoring	
Stakeholder involvement	
Contributions from non-governmental organizations/businesses	
Examples of Effective Monitoring	5-66
928. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of	
effective monitoring in adaptive management plans	5-66
Washington State DEpartment of Natural Resources' Adaptive	
Management Program	
Characteristics of Current Monitoring Activities	5-67
929. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider characteristics of	
current monitoring activities	
Inadequate funding	
Inadequate timing	
Inadequate staffing	
Mitigation	
Mitigation General	5-67
930. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide guidance on what	
constitutes adequate and inadequate mitigation	5-67
931. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage appropriate	
mitigation	5-67
Through use of a mitigation and monitoring reporting plan	5-68
932. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address mitigation in	
relation to the functional equivalency of environments.	5-68
933. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	
adequately discuss mitigation measures	5-68
934. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should avoid overreliance on	
mitigation	5-68
To ensure that environmental effects are prevented	5-68
935. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise agencies not to rely	
on voluntary industry compliance with mitigation agreements.	5-69
Mitigation and Document Preparation	
936. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the use of	
'creeping' mitigation to avoid preparing an EIS	5-69

Fear o	of 'significant impacts' leads to excessive mitigation	5-70
Fear o	of litigation leads to excessive mitigation	5-70
'Creep	ping mitigation' is an aberration under NEPA	5-71
Projec	ct applicants and the public should scrutinize 'creeping mitigation'	5-71
Agend	sies should disclose to the public the pros and cons of mitigated	
FONS	l's	5-71
937. Publi	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure that the public has	
the o	pportunity to review and comment on mitigated FONSIs and EAs	5-72
	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
	ement mitigation as a means to prepare documents requiring less	
	/sis	5-72
	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should allow use of mitigated	
	SIs	5-72
	RACTICES FOR ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT	
	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of	
	tive adaptive management programs	5-72
	west Forest Plan	
	ington State Department of Natural Resources	
	r County and the Center for Holistic Management	
	c Lumber Company Habitat Conservation Plan	
	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide agencies with	5-74
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	els of completed projects which effectively employed adaptive	E 7E
	agement	
rnrou	gh a 'domestic scan'	5-75
Chapter 6 Categori	cal Exclusions	6-1
CATEGORICAL EXC	LUSIONS GENERAL	6-1
	erns	
	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize the value of	0 2
	gorical exclusions	6-2
	eamline regulatory processes	
	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	0-2
	aluate existing categorical exclusions	6-2
	ctions that may affect invasive pathways	
	termine whether environmental effects are actually minimal	
	·	0-2
	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize the effect of	6.0
	decisions on agency categorical exclusions	6-2
	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the complexity	6.0
	cost of categorical exclusions.	6-3
	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should discourage agencies from	
-	g categorical exclusions as a means to circumvent the NEPA	
proce	988	6-3
	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should discourage agencies from	
	g categorical exclusions as a means to ignore cumulative effects	6-3
	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should discourage agencies from	
	ring outroordings, circumstances as a magneta delay the process	6-1
0/0 Dubli	aring extraordinary circumstances as a means to delay the process	0-4
	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
use o	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to categorical exclusions when it is appropriate to do so	
use o 950. Publi	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to categorical exclusions when it is appropriate to do so	
use o 950. Publi allow	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to categorical exclusions when it is appropriate to do so	6-4
use o 950. Publi allow	c Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to categorical exclusions when it is appropriate to do so	6-4

951. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should continue to limit the span	
of categorical exclusions	6-4
952. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should eliminate categorical	
exclusions	6-4
Because the policy is redundant	6-4
Because the process does not consider public input	
Categorical Exclusion Terminology	
953. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should clarify the concept of	
"exceptions" to categorical exclusions.	6-5
To improve agency compliance	
954. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not allow agencies to limit	0 0
the definition of extraordinary circumstances	6-5
955. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should define the level of	0 0
significance regarding the effects of categorical exclusions on the human	
environment	6-5
956. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should emphasize the new	0-3
definition of "extraordinary circumstances."	6-6
As it relates to threatened and endangered species	
957. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should eliminate references to	6-6
	6.6
"controversial."	
ESTABLISHMENT OF CATEGORICAL EXCLUSIONS	
Establishment of Categorical Exclusions General	<b>6-</b> 9
958. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should develop procedures and	0.0
standards for establishing categorical exclusions	
To provide clarity and consistency in the process	
To facilitate consistency among different agencies	
To avoid agency abuse of categorical exclusions	6-9
959. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
develop and use more categorical exclusions.	
Program-specific categorical exclusions	6-10
960. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should ensure that agencies	
balance immediate needs with environmental effects when applying	
categorical exclusions.	6-10
961. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider environmental	
effects over political issues when establishing categorical exclusions	
Basis for Establishing Categorical Exclusions	6-11
Laws/Regulations	6-11
962. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should outline the basis for	
establishing categorical exclusions	
Compliance with federal laws	
Compliance with the Data Quality Act	
Existing environmental regulations	6-11
Data/Analysis	
963. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should outline the basis for	
establishing categorical exclusions	6-11
Existing data	6-11
Data pertinent to plan goals	6-11
Data consistent with the scale of action	
Data from various studies	
Peer-reviewed science	
Project Type	

964. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should outline the basis for	
establishing categorical exclusions	6-12
Continuance of historically permitted actions	6-12
Repetitive nature of projects	6-12
Frequency and geographic breadth of the action	
Past experience with similar projects	
Individual situations	
Extraordinary circumstances	
Project Effects	
965. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should outline the basis for	
establishing categorical exclusions.	6-13
Expected level of effects	
Environmental effects of similar past projects	
Effects to the human environment	6-13
Social and economic effects	
Existing environmental assessment documents which demonstrate the	0 10
proposed action will have no adverse effects	6-14
Peer reviewed, published scientific literature demonstrating insignificant	0-17
effects	6-14
Other	
966. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should outline the basis for	0-14
establishing categorical exclusions.	6 1 /
Consultation with an interdisciplinary team	
Consensus with local governments and individuals	
	0-14
Number of categorical exclusions receiving Federal Highway Administration concurrence	6 1 /
Time-sensitive projects relating to forest health and public safety	
Activities/Actions Appropriate for Categorical Exclusion	
Activities/Actions Appropriate for Categorical Exclusion	
967. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should establish criteria for	6-15
agencies to distinguish between actions that are statutorily excluded	6 15
versus categorically excluded	6-13
968. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should address the inequity	6 15
between actions that qualify for categorical exclusion	6-13
969. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should develop an objective	C 10
checklist for determining categorical exclusion eligibility	6-16
970. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should compile a list of universal	C 10
categorical exclusions that apply to common activities among agencies	6-16
971. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should limit agencies to specific	0.40
lists of actions that may be categorically excluded	6-16
972. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not limit agencies to	0.40
specific lists of actions that may be categorically excluded	6-16
973. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide direction for	
agencies regarding the application of categorical exclusions to stop	0.40
illegal activities on public lands	6-16
974. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what actions are	C 1=
appropriate for categorical exclusion.	
Actions implementing decisions that are already made	
Actions occurring in areas already developed	
Actions that maintain the status quo	
Federal wildlife management actions consistent with state wildlife plans	6-17

Beneficial Management Actions	6-18
975. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what actions are	
appropriate for categorical exclusion	6-18
Actions that have positive effects on the environment	6-18
Only actions that protect the environment	
Actions needed to respond to natural disasters	
Actions in response to illegal resource degradation	
Removal of junipers to regenerate aspen stands	
Removal of hazardous trees along roads	
Watershed improvements and salvage timber harvest	
Noxious weed control	
Resource Development Activities	
976. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what actions are	
appropriate for categorical exclusion	6-19
Small scale commercial timber harvest	
Actions specifically related to oil, gas, and mining development	
Renewal of grazing permits	
Recreation Development	
977. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what actions are	0-2
appropriate for categorical exclusion	6-21
Resort activities	
Low-Impact Activities	
978. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what actions are	0-2
appropriate for categorical exclusion	6 21
Low impact projects	
Actions with no significant environmental effects	
Private actions with minimal effect on federal land	
Activities/Actions Not Appropriate for Categorical Exclusions  Natural Resource Development	
979. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what actions are	0-22
	6.20
not appropriate for categorical exclusion.	
Resource development	
Wetlands development	
Timber harvest	
Oil and gas activities	
Mining	6-22
Grazing	
Road construction	
New highway routing or construction	
Urbanization	
Activities Having Potentially Significant Effects	6-23
980. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what actions are	
not appropriate for categorical exclusion.	
Actions where cumulative effects are a key factor	
Circumstances that trigger NEPA significance	
Fire projects	6-23
Pesticide and herbicide application	6-23
Field testing of genetically modified plants	6-23
981. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that many	
activities are inappropriately authorized for categorical exclusion	
Because a cumulative effects analysis is not required	6-24

INTERAGENCY REVIEW OF CATEGORICAL EXCLUSIONS	
Interagency Review General	6-25
982. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should encourage agencies to	
review and apply other agencies' categorical exclusions	6-25
983. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require less analysis from	
agencies adopting another's categorical exclusion	6-26
984. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should allow agencies to retain	
the right to decline another agency's application to adopt a categorical	
exclusion.	6-26
Factors to Evaluate in Interagency Review	
Laws/Regulations	
985. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what factors are	0 20
appropriate to evaluate in interagency review	6-26
Local laws and regulations	
Outcome of appeals	
Environmental justice issues	
Agency Processes	
986. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what factors are	0-20
	0.00
appropriate to evaluate in interagency review	
Similarity in agency missions	
Frequency at which the other agency conducts the NEPA process	
Data/Analysis	6-27
987. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what factors are	
appropriate to evaluate in interagency review	
Scientific data	
Monitoring results	
Project Type	6-27
988. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what factors are	
appropriate to evaluate in interagency review	6-27
Similarity in historical use	6-27
Similarity of projects	6-27
Similarity of situation	6-27
Frequency of action	
Proximity of actions	
Geographic scope of action	6-27
Presence of extraordinary circumstances	
Project Effects	
989. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what factors are	
appropriate to evaluate in interagency review	6-28
Relative effects	
Findings of the effects analysis	
Ecological, sociological, and economic consequences	
Other	
990. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider what factors are	0-20
appropriate to evaluate in interagency review	6-29
Environmental conditions	
Consistency of terminology	
Success rates	
Applicable resource areas	
Degree of controversy	
IMPROVEMENTS IN THE PROCESS OF ESTABLISHING NEW CATEGORICAL EXCLUSIONS	o-29

Improvements General	6-30
991. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should improve the process of	
establishing new categorical exclusions	6-30
By not tolerating abuse of the process	6-30
By considering environmental effects	6-30
To avoid national bankruptcy	6-30
992. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should expedite the process of	
establishing categorical exclusions	6-30
To diminish susceptibility to litigation	6-30
By limiting required analysis	6-30
993. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should issue a guidance	
document regarding the establishment of categorical exclusions	6-31
994. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should improve the process of	
establishing new categorical exclusions by providing guidance on	
criteria	
By developing an objective list of criteria for agencies to follow	6-31
By developing a nationwide database of approved categorical exclusions	
By narrowing the criteria for exceptions to categorical exclusions	6-32
By allowing similar actions to be categorically excluded	
By reconsidering the "kick out" criteria	6-32
995. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should improve the process of	
establishing new categorical exclusions by providing guidance on	
agency practices.	
By encouraging agencies to use a transparent process	
By placing more reliance on professional managers	
By allowing agencies to publish findings in the Federal Register	6-33
By advising agencies to periodically review and update categorical	
exclusions	6-33
By encouraging agencies to establish regional- or area-specific	
categorical exclusions	6-33
By encouraging agencies to consider categorical exclusions on a local	
basis	
By removing agencies and special interest groups from the process	6-34
996. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should establish an administrative	0.04
review process for agency decisions to apply a categorical exclusion	
To avoid unnecessary legal challenges	6-34
997. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should apply more flexibility in	0.04
establishing categorical exclusions.	
For simple special use permits	
For routine maintenance projects	
For small mineral exploration projects	6-34
998. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should broaden the use of	0.05
categorical exclusions.	6-35
By studying EA's that conclude there is insufficient effect to have justified	C 25
an EA	
To allow decisions and actions to move forward	0-35
999. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should use existing, broadly	6.25
defined categorical exclusions rather than establishing new ones	ხ-ან
1000. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should recognize that no	
improvements are needed in the process of establishing categorical exclusions.	6-35
GV010910119******************************	บ-งอ

Improvements in Agency Handbook Direction	6-36
1001. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise the Forest	
Service to strengthen its handbook requirements for categorical	
exclusions	6-36
1002. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise the Forest	
Service to remove all examples of categorical exclusions in FSH	
1909.15, and retain just a goal-oriented category definition	6-36
1003. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should advise the Forest	0 00
Service to revise FSH 1909.15 Chapter 30 to clarify direction regarding	
extraordinary circumstances	6-37
	6-37 6-37
	6-37
1004. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	
provide supporting documentation of a decision to apply a categorical	
_exclusion	
To accommodate unanticipated situations	
To avoid unnecessary legal challenges	6-37
Should require the same supporting scientific information for establishing	
categorical exclusions as that required for an EA or EIS	6-37
1005. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not require agencies to	
provide supporting documentation of a decision to apply a categorical	
exclusion.	6-38
1006. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should adequately identify the	
level of analysis necessary for certain types of categorical exclusions	6-38
On a case-by-case basis	6-39
1007. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should provide further	
clarification regarding the format and level of analysis that should occur	
in categorical exclusion decision documents.	6-39
Regulatory Changes	6-39
1008. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should revise 36 CFR 228.31	0-33
Category 8 for short-term mineral, energy, or geophysical activities	6 20
	0-39
1009. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should incorporate direction	
from "Guidance Regarding NEPA Regulations" into categorical exclusion	0.40
regulations	
PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND CATEGORICAL EXCLUSIONS	6-40
1010. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should require agencies to	
involve the public and stakeholders in developing new categorical	
exclusions	6-41
1011. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should discourage agencies	
from applying categorical exclusions as a means to avoid public	
participation	
EXAMPLES/BEST PRACTICES FOR ESTABLISHING CATEGORICAL EXCLUSIONS	6-41
1012. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should not assume that	
categorical exclusions represent a best practice	6-42
1013. Public Concern: The CEQ Task Force should consider examples of	
methods for establishing categorical exclusions	6-42
Washington State guidelines	
Federal Highway Administration methods	6-42
. 340.6	